

BA NAA

*

*

*

-

*

/

) BA NAA (2009-2008

) (

. (

BA

1- . (100+ 75) BA+ NAA 1- . 100 NAA 1- . 75

(15 10 5)

. 2008 /9/25

-:

(BA NAA) -1

(BA)

(BA NAA) . (NAA) -2

(NAA) -3

(15) -4

(5)

Rutaceae

Citrus sinensis L.

(Citrus)

(1990)

. 2010 / 12 / 8

. 2011 / 2 / 2

(1988) .

(2002) . (1986) Starrantion
 - . 50 BA ()
 (2004) 1
 1- . 100 BA ()
 10

BA Valencia (1990) Halim
 Troyercitrange 10 1- . 200

NAA (2005)

(2008)

1- . 100 NAA
 BA NAA

/
 2009/10/1 () 2008/9 /25 /
 75 (BA)
 (NAA+BA) 1- . 100 (NAA) 1- .
 (15 10 5) 1- . (100+75)
 (4×3)(×)
 2009

2009 /10/1

(100/)

(1986) Sridhar Mahaderean

(LSD) %5
 .(1990)
 : -1
 (1)
 - . 75 BA +¹⁻ . 100 NAA)
 (% 93.33) (1)
 (1989 Fosket 1981 Sachs)
 NAA 1- . 100 NAA
 (1998)
 (1988) ()
 NAA % 84.44 BA
 (2004)
 - . 100 BA ()
 . 10 1
 .
BA NAA .1

	15	10	5	1- .
65.55	66.66	66.66	63.33	control
46.66	43.33	46.66	50.00	NAA
84.44	86.66	83.33	83.33	BA
93.33	96.66	93.33	90.00	NAA+BA
6.136	n.s			L.S.D. 5%
	73.33	72.50	71.66	
	n.s			L.S.D. 5%

() -2
 (2)

(49.55) BA NAA
 [1985]

(1981) Boswell Naure (1987)
 15 BA
 (41.50) 5 (42.65)
 BA NAA

BA NAA .2
 .()

	15	10	5	/
33.52	34.55	33.54	32.48	control
45.40	45.96	45.35	44.89	NAA
39.92	40.03	40.19	39.56	BA
49.55	50.06	49.55	49.06	NAA+BA
0.428	n.s			L.S.D. 5%
	42.0	42.16	41.50	
	0.371			L.S.D. 5%

() -3
 BA NAA (3)
 NAA (6.90) (7.78)
 NAA+BA BA
 BA NAA

(1979) Naure (1988)
 PBA BA
 (7.30 7.36) 10 15
 (7.21) 5
) 10 15
 (2

. () **BA NAA** **.3**

	15	10	5	
				/
6.90	6.93	6.90	6.86	control
7.78	7.86	7.80	7.70	NAA
7.03	7.10	7.06	6.93	BA
7.45	7.56	7.43	7.36	NAA+BA
0.104	n.s			L.S.D. 5%
	7.36	7.30	7.21	
	0.090			L.S.D. 5%

(/) **-4**

(4)

(NAA+BA)

/ 42.50

/ 29.16

Oliveira (3 2)

(1995) Ramadas

15

(10 5)

/ 37.85

(2)

15

15 (NAA+BA)

/ 43.73

/)

BA NAA **.4**

(

	15	10	5	
				/
29.16	29.73	29.36	28.40	control
36.85	37.06	36.56	36.93	NAA
39.04	40.86	40.03	36.23	BA
42.50	43.73	42.23	41.53	NAA+BA
0.604	1.066			L.S.D. 5%
	37.85	37.05	35.77	
	0.523			L.S.D. 5%

-5 (2)

(5)

(NAA+BA)

NAA+BA

[2008] (4 2)

100 NAA

15

5

(15) BA+NAA

2000)

(1988

(4 3 2)

15 (NAA+BA)

1362.67

835.73

5

(2) BA NAA .5

	15	10	5	
				1-
866.03	887.60	874.71	835.73	control
1124.41	1120.84	1118.67	1133.72	NAA
1187.19	1266.29	1241.07	1054.22	BA
1318.15	1362.67	1305.05	1286.73	NAA+BA
27.585	47.77			L.S.D. 5%
	1159.36	1134.87	1077.60	
	23.889			L.S.D. 5%

-6 (100 /)

NAA+BA (6)

100/ 2.87

2.60

(4 2)

(1985 West Wood)

(1988) Chlorophyllase
 100 (2004)
 1-
 15
 100 / 2.65 5 100 / 2.78
 (15)
 (4 3 2)

.6
BA NAA
(100/)

	15	10	5	
				/
2.60	2.66	2.60	2.55	control
2.66	2.73	2.67	2.61	NAA
2.74	2.82	2.74	2.66	BA
2.87	2.91	2.88	2.81	NAA+BA
0.24	n.s			L.S.D. 5%
	2.78	2.72	2.65	
	0.110			L.S.D. 5%

(/) -7
 (7)

NAA+BA
 (24.73) (32.52)

(1986) Starrantion (5 4 2)
 1- . 50 BA

-8
 (8)
 75 BA
 %49.45 1-
 %46.48 1- 100 NAA

BA NAA **.7**
(/)

	15	10	5	/
24.73	24.79	24.81	24.58	control
29.70	29.94	29.66	29.49	NAA
30.67	30.94	30.45	30.63	BA
32.52	33.06	32.50	32.00	NAA+BA
0.711	n.s			L.S.D. 5%
	29.68	29.35	29.17	
	n.s			L.S.D. 5%

BA
(7) NAA

15
5
15
(7 5 2)

% 48.26
% 47.89

(1985)

BA NAA **.8**

	15	10	5	/
47.88	48.45	47.55	47.62	control
46.48	46.67	46.52	46.26	NAA
49.45	49.48	49.42	49.44	BA
48.35	48.45	48.37	48.24	NAA+BA
0.249	n.s			L.S.D. 5%
	48.26	47.96	47.89	
	0.215			L.S.D. 5%

1- (100+75) (NAA+BA) -1

1- 100 NAA

-2

- . 2000 .
- " .1988 .
- . 2004 .
- / - . 2008 .
- . *Citrus aurantium*
- . 1990 .
- . 2005 .
- . *Citrus aurantium*
- . 1990 .
- . 1998 .
- . 1985 .
- .1988.
- . 1988 .
- .1987.
- /
- Fosket , D.F. 1989. Cytokinins in plant physiology " 2nd 1 , Tiaz and E,Zceger . sinaur Assocsates Inc. Sunderland mass achusetts .
- Halim , H.D.R. Kumar. , B.G.Coombe and D.A. Spinall .1990. "Dormancy and bursting of in planted citrus bud and the effects of plant growth " substances International society of citrus Nursevy IV congress . *South Africa* ; 1-5 .
- Mahaderean , A. and R. Sridhar. 1986 . Methods in physiological plant pathology . sivakanmi publication 3rd ed . Madras-India .
- Naure , E.M. , S.B. Boswell and R.C. Hohmes. 1979. Chemical treatments dayienght effect forcing and growth of newly budded orange trees " *Hortscience*, 14 (3) : 229 – 231 .

- Naure , E.M. and S.B. Boswell . 1981. Stimulating growth of quiescent citrus buds with 6- benzyle amino purine .
Hortscience, 16 (2) ; 126-163 .
- Oliveira , D. and M.T.Ramadas . 1995. Techniques to improve the development of the "escudete" graft citrus fruit " Spanish , 121 p .
- Sachs, T.1981. The control of the patterned differentiation of resultant tissues " *Adr. Bot. Rev.* 56; 771- 778.
- Starrantion , A.,A. Caruso and G.Zhi- Yng.1986. Influence some growth regulators on the taking of shoot – tip grafting citrus. rivista . della or to florofrue – Italiana (Italy) .V.70 (2) p.117-126 .
- West Wood , M.N. 1985.Temperat. zone pomology. Oregon state Univ. W.H. free man and company . San Francisco .

EFFET OF SCIONS TREATMENT PERIOD WITH GROWTH REGULATORS NAA , BA ON GROWTH OF BUDDED ORANGE ON SOUR ORANGE ROOTSTOCK .

S. A. Abed – Alwahaab

T. H. Bresam

A. J. Fahad

* **Al_Mussaib Technical College.**

ABSTRACT

This study was carried at Al-Hindya horticultural station \ Kerbela during the season 2008-2009 to find out the effect of local orange scion treatment with 100 mg l^{-1} NAA and 75 mg l^{-1} BA and (100 mg l^{-1} NAA + 75 mg l^{-1} BA) and control for 3 dipping periods(5 , 10 and 15 minutes) on the percentage of budding success and the root and vegetative growth characters of the budded seedlings . Sour orange seedlings were used as rootstocks . using RCBD , with three replicates . The results were summarized as follow : -

- 1- Higher budding success percentage were obtained with treatment of buds by (NAA+BA) at ($100 + 75$) mg l^{-1} gave (93.39%) as compared with control (65.55 %) . The treatment with BA gave 84.44 % , while there was decrease due to NAA (46.16 %) as compared with the other treatments .
- 2- the treatment (100 mg l^{-1} NAA + 75 mg l^{-1} BA) showed significant increased in most studied the vegetative and roots characters .
- 3- Treatment with NAA at 100 mg l^{-1} resulted in significant increase in the vegetative and roots characters .
- 4- Dipping of scions in the growth regulators at 15 minuets period caused of a significant increase the vegetative and root characters . while 5 minuets period gave the lowest means of the characters studied .