

Salvia officinalis

*
 .
 - / / *

BA
Salvia officinalis
 / /

.MS
 1.0 0.5 0
 0.8 0.4 0.2 0

IAA NAA 2,4-D
 2009/ 2008/
 BA 1- 4.0 2.0
 1- .

:

.BA MS
 0.0308 0.3225 BA 1- 0.2 2,4-D \ 1.0

900 Lamaceae
 60
 .(1999 *Salvia* Perry)
Salvia officinalis
 .(1992)

2,4-D (2000) Staba .(2003)
 (BA)
 Krishnamurthy) .(1984

Mineo (2000 Gray Trigiano) (1990)

(1990)

(2005) Grzegorzki
 1- 0.2 NAA 1- 0.1 MS
 0.2 (1990) Falk 2,4-D 1- 0.5 BA
 1- 0.05 (2006) MS KIN 1- 1 2,4-D 1-
 MS KIN 1- 0.5 2,4-D

/ /

2009

2008

%4

Fito

10

(%6)

(1962)

Skoog

Murashige

1000

4 . 2 ± °24

16

3 10

150× 25

1 ()

1

2,4-D

\ 4.0 2.0 1.0 0.5 0 IAA NAA
 .0.8 0.4 0.2 0.0 BA

° 70

(CRD)		4×5		%5		L.S.D	
				.(1990)	
				BA 2,4-D		:1	
				: MS			
		2,4-D		(A-1)		: 1-1	
\ 1.0	0.0195	0.2116					
\ 0.5			0.0177	0.1983	0.0015	0.0168	
					(B-1)		
0.8 0.4	BA 1-	0.2		0.0150	0.1706		
0.1621							\
				0.0121	0.0145	0.1333	
		0.0048	0.0567		\	0.0	
					(C-1)		
0.2 × 2,4-D	1-	1.0				BA 1-	
		0.3225					0.0308
							: 2-1
		2,4-D		(A-1)			
				(1- . 1.0 0.5)			
		\ 0.5					
\ 2.0 1.0				0.1238			
0.0424							
		\ 2.0 1.0 0.5					
					1-	0.5	
2,4-D 1-		0.0110					
		4.0					
		1-		0.0057			

$1^- \cdot 0.4$
 $\backslash 0.2$
 $\cdot 0.0484$
 BA $\backslash 0.4$ BA
 0.0082
 0.0080 0.0068 $1^- \cdot 0.8$ 0.2
 (B-1)
 0.0985 BA
 $1^- \cdot 0.5$
 0.1617 BA $1^- \cdot 0.8 \times 2,4-D$
 0.0147
 (C-1)
 : 3-1
 2,4-D (A-1)
 $\backslash 0.5$
 0.0929
 $1^- \cdot 1.0$
 0.0885 0.0191
 0.0099 2,4-D $\backslash 1.0$
 0.0076 $1^- \cdot 0.5$ BA (B-1)
 BA BA $1^- \cdot 0.2$
 0.0073
 (C-1)
 $\times 2,4-D$ $\backslash 1.0$
 0.1977 BA $\backslash 0.0$
 0.0266

جدول 1. تأثير تراكيز 2,4-D و BA وتداخلهما في الوزن الرطب والجاف للكالس المستحث من اجزاء نباتية مختلفة لنبات المرمية المزروعة في وسط MS .

الساق		القمة النامية		الاوراق		الجزء النباتي	تراكيز منظم النمو ملغم/التر
الوزن الجاف(غم)	الوزن الرطب(غم)	الوزن الجاف(غم)	الوزن الرطب(غم)	الوزن الجاف(غم)	الوزن الرطب(غم)		
0.0017	0.0191	0.0031	0.0424	0.0015	0.0168		0.0
0.0076	0.0929	0.0110	0.1238	0.0177	0.1983		0.5
0.0099	0.0885	0.0080	0.0901	0.0195	0.2116		1.0
0.0039	0.0471	0.0063	0.0703	0.0084	0.0998		2.0
0.0045	0.0536	0.0057	0.0648	0.0109	0.1268		4.0
0.0028	0.0207	0.0031	0.0424	0.0043	0.0466		L.S.D. 0.05

A: تأثير 2,4-D

B: تأثير BA

0.0073	0.0633	0.0042	0.0484	0.0048	0.0567		0.0
0.0046	0.0562	0.0068	0.0747	0.0150	0.1706		0.2
0.0050	0.0654	0.0082	0.0958	0.0145	0.1621		0.4
0.0051	0.0561	0.0080	0.0942	0.0121	0.1333		0.8
n.s	n.s	0.0024	0.0287	0.0039	0.0417		L.S.D. 0.05

C: تأثير BA× 2,4-D

0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0	2,4-D 0.0
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.2	
0.0030	0.0325	0.0032	0.0420	0.0030	0.0318	0.4	
0.0040	0.0438	0.0092	0.1275	0.0031	0.0355	0.8	
0.0035	0.0413	0.0059	0.0698	0.0063	0.0777	0.0	2,4-D 0.5
0.0102	0.1309	0.0105	0.1182	0.0207	0.2356	0.2	
0.0062	0.0899	0.0129	0.1456	0.0245	0.2771	0.4	
0.0105	0.1095	0.0147	0.1617	0.0192	0.2029	0.8	
0.0266	0.1977	0.0066	0.0750	0.0058	0.0668	0.0	2,4-D 1.0
0.0042	0.0456	0.0104	0.1110	0.0308	0.3225	0.2	
0.0053	0.0711	0.0095	0.1175	0.0240	0.2589	0.4	
0.0035	0.0398	0.0054	0.0568	0.0174	0.1983	0.8	
0.0026	0.0311	0.0036	0.0408	0.0070	0.0779	0.0	2,4-D 2.0
0.0034	0.0435	0.0068	0.0733	0.0103	0.1371	0.2	
0.0052	0.0657	0.0093	0.1059	0.0093	0.1070	0.4	
0.0043	0.0483	0.0056	0.0611	0.0070	0.0770	0.8	
0.0040	0.0465	0.0051	0.0563	0.0051	0.0612	0.0	2,4-D 4.0
0.0051	0.0610	0.0065	0.0712	0.0130	0.1576	0.2	
0.0054	0.0678	0.0059	0.0677	0.0118	0.1360	0.4	
0.0034	0.0390	0.0054	0.0638	0.0135	0.1526	0.8	
0.0419	0.0055	0.0642	0.0087	0.0933	0.0358		L.S.D. 0.05

BA NAA :2
 : MS
 : : 1-2
 (A-2)
 NAA
 1- . 2.0 .
 . \ 0.5
 NAA 1- . 4.0 0.1358
 1- . 0.5 0.0107
 (B-2)
 BA 1- . 0.4 .
 1- . 0.0 0.1342
 1- . 0.2 0.0397
 BA 0.0097 BA
 . 0.0036
 . BA
 BA 1- . 0.4 NAA 1- . 2.0
 \ 4.0 . 0.2063
 .(C-2) 0.0157 BA 1- . 0.2 NAA
 : : 2-2
 NAA (A-2)
 2.0 .
 . 0.2083 \
 1- . 4.0
 . 0.0424 0.1820
 NAA 1- . 4.0
 0.0 0.0186 0.0197
 1- . 2.0
 . 0.0031 NAA 1- .
 (B-2)
 BA 1- . 0.8
 1- . 0.4 0.2 0.1646
 1- . 0.0 0.1604 0.1477

BA 1- . 0.4 . 0.0731
0.0087 . 0.0149
1- . 0.8 0.2
(C-2)
1- . 0.8 NAA 1- . 2.0
0.2 NAA 4.0 0.2863
0.0264 BA 1- .
BA 1- .
:3-2
NAA (A-2)
2.0
0.0167 0.1882 1- .
1- . 1.0 0.5
0.016 0.0155 0.1776 0.1696
0.0191 NAA \ 0.0
(B-2)
BA 1- . 0.4 .
\ 0.0 . 0.0165 0.1804
0.0075 0.0779 NAA
BA \ 0.4 NAA 1- . 2.0
0.0222 0.2570

جدول 2. تأثير تراكيز NAA و BA وتداخلهما في الوزن الرطب والجاف للكالس المستحث من اجزاء نباتية مختلفة لنبات المرمية المزروعة في وسط MS .

A: تأثير NAA

BA :B

BA× NAA

BA IAA :3

الساق		القمة النامية		الاوراق		الجزء النباتي تراكيز منظم النمو ¹⁻
الوزن الجاف(غم)	الوزن الرطب(غم)	الوزن الجاف(غم)	الوزن الرطب(غم)	الوزن الجاف(غم)	الوزن الرطب(غم)	
0.0017	0.0191	0.0031	0.0424	0.0013	0.0168	0.0
0.0155	0.1696	0.0102	0.1106	0.0071	0.0799	0.5
0.0160	0.1776	0.0130	0.1389	0.0100	0.1245	1.0
0.0167	0.1882	0.0186	0.2083	0.0106	0.1358	2.0
0.0134	0.1365	0.0197	0.1820	0.0107	0.1250	4.0
0.0026	0.0197	0.0038	0.0325	0.0020	0.0279	L.S.D. 0.05

0.0075	0.0779	0.0087	0.0731	0.0036	0.0397	0.0
0.0128	0.1417	0.0140	0.1477	0.0097	0.1087	0.2
0.0165	0.1804	0.0149	0.1604	0.0096	0.1342	0.4
0.0137	0.1526	0.0140	0.1646	0.0089	0.1030	0.8
0.0023	0.0176	0.0034	0.0291	0.0018	0.0249	L.S.D. 0.05

0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0	NAA
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.2	0.0
0.0030	0.0325	0.0032	0.0420	0.0023	0.0031	0.4	
0.0040	0.0438	0.0092	0.1276	0.0031	0.0355	0.8	
0.0101	0.1118	0.0105	0.1137	0.0030	0.0354	0.0	
0.0128	0.1458	0.0091	0.1021	0.0080	0.0836	0.2	0.5
0.0216	0.2260	0.0119	0.1188	0.0064	0.0703	0.4	
0.0177	0.1946	0.0093	0.1078	0.0112	0.1305	0.8	
0.0068	0.0953	0.0078	0.0833	0.0050	0.0644	0.0	
0.0206	0.2197	0.0151	0.1490	0.0121	0.1492	0.2	1.0
0.0213	0.2232	0.0167	0.1894	0.0135	0.1899	0.4	
0.0153	0.1722	0.0122	0.1341	0.0094	0.0946	0.8	
0.0094	0.1090	0.0059	0.0803	0.0051	0.0546	0.0	
0.0164	0.1812	0.0195	0.2055	0.0130	0.1381	0.2	2.0
0.0222	0.2570	0.0244	0.2610	0.0141	0.2063	0.4	
0.0188	0.2055	0.0245	0.2863	0.0103	0.1442	0.8	
0.0123	0.0735	0.0195	0.0882	0.0048	0.0443	0.0	
0.0144	0.1618	0.0264	0.2820	0.0157	0.1728	0.2	4.0
0.0143	0.1635	0.0183	0.1907	0.0116	0.1726	0.4	
0.0126	0.1470	0.0145	0.1672	0.0107	0.1103	0.8	
0.0394	0.0076	0.0651	0.0040	0.0558	0.0358	L.S.D. 0.05	

: MS

: 1-3

				IAA		
\	4.0	.				
	0.0115	0.1431				
	(A-3)	0.0015	0.0168	IAA ¹⁻	0.0
	BA	\	0.8		(B-3)	
			0.0108		0.1253	
0.0460	BA ¹⁻	.	0.0			
						0.0041
					(C-3)	
0.8	IAA ¹⁻	.	4.0			
	0.0184	0.2131			BA ¹⁻	
				IAA	:	: 2-3
\	4.0	.		(A-3)		
	0.0177	0.1867				
					BA	
	0.1663	BA ¹⁻	.	0.8		
	0.1495		¹⁻	0.4		
			0.0810		¹⁻	0.0
0.0			0.0161		BA ¹⁻	0.4
			0.0112	0.0088	¹⁻	0.2
					(B-3)	0.0149
					(C-3)	
	0.4 × IAA ¹⁻	.	4.0			
	0.2330				BA ¹⁻	
¹⁻	2.0	BA ¹⁻	.	0.4 × IAA ¹⁻	.	1.0
	0.0223			BA ¹⁻	.	0.4 × IAA

: : 3-3

IAA

1- . 4.0 .
. 0.2041
0.2008 0.1765 1- . 2.0 1.0
.(A-3) 0.0191 IAA 1- . 0.0
IAA 1- . 4.0 2.0
. 0.0189
. 0.0162 1- . 1.0
.(A-3) 0.0017
(B-3)
BA 1- . 0.8 0.4
1- . 0.4 .
1- . 0.8 0.1815
. 0.1121 1- . 0.0 . 0.1807
BA 1- . 0.8
1- . 0.4
IAA 1- . 0.0 . 0.0171
. 0.0168
. 0.0110
BA 1- . 0.8 IAA 1- . 4.0
.(C-3) 0.0231 0.2408

جدول 3. تأثير تراكيز IAA و BA وتداخلهما في الوزن الرطب والجاف للكاس المستحث من اجزاء نباتية مختلفة لنبات المرمية المزروعة في وسط MS

A: تأثير IAA

B: تأثير BA

تأثير BA×IAA

الساق		القمة النامية		الاوراق		الجزء النباتي تراكيز منظم النمو ¹⁻
الوزن الجاف(غم)	الوزن الرطب(غم)	الوزن الجاف(غم)	الوزن الرطب(غم)	الوزن الجاف(غم)	الوزن الرطب(غم)	
0.0017	0.0191	0.0031	0.0424	0.0015	0.0168	0.0
0.0147	0.1534	0.0108	0.1211	0.0072	0.0772	0.5
0.0162	0.1765	0.0166	0.1423	0.0085	0.0921	1.0
0.0189	0.2008	0.0159	0.1529	0.0093	0.1097	2.0
0.0189	0.2041	0.0173	0.1867	0.0115	0.1431	4.0
0.0027	0.0291	0.0048	0.0270	0.0015	0.0179	L.S.D. 0.05

0.0110	0.1121	0.0088	0.0810	0.0041	0.0460	0.0
0.0114	0.1287	0.0112	0.1195	0.0067	0.0766	0.2
0.0168	0.1815	0.0161	0.1495	0.0089	0.1032	0.4
0.0171	0.1807	0.0149	0.1663	0.0108	0.1253	0.8
0.0024	0.0260	0.0043	0.0241	0.0013	0.0160	L.S.D. 0.05

0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0	IAA 0.0
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.2	
0.0030	0.0325	0.0032	0.0420	0.0030	0.0318	0.4	
0.0040	0.0438	0.0092	0.1275	0.0031	0.0355	0.8	
0.0103	0.0868	0.0070	0.0784	0.0038	0.0453	0.0	IAA 0.5
0.0111	0.1309	0.0114	0.1303	0.0053	0.0622	0.2	
0.0202	0.2083	0.0109	0.1254	0.0101	0.1003	0.4	
0.0172	0.1874	0.0141	0.1504	0.0096	0.1010	0.8	
0.0106	0.1210	0.0186	0.1170	0.0055	0.0586	0.0	IAA 1.0
0.0164	0.1803	0.0126	0.1313	0.0101	0.1072	0.2	
0.0188	0.2060	0.0223	0.1770	0.0080	0.0830	0.4	
0.0189	0.1987	0.0128	0.1438	0.0105	0.1194	0.8	
0.0198	0.2005	0.0075	0.0874	0.0059	0.0633	0.0	IAA 2.0
0.0136	0.1450	0.0161	0.1683	0.0087	0.0974	0.2	
0.0197	0.2247	0.0223	0.1700	0.0101	0.1206	0.4	
0.0225	0.2329	0.0178	0.1857	0.0124	0.1574	0.8	
0.0146	0.1521	0.0109	0.1219	0.0051	0.0626	0.0	IAA 4.0
0.0158	0.1874	0.0158	0.1674	0.0093	0.1162	0.2	
0.0222	0.2361	0.0218	0.2330	0.0133	0.1805	0.4	
0.0231	0.2408	0.0206	0.2243	0.0184	0.2131	0.8	
0.0055	0.0582	0.0096	0.0510	0.0030	0.0358	L.S.D. 0.05	

() NAA 2,4-D
 IAA
 IAA
) IAA-Oxidase Peroxidase
 2,4-D .(2003

2,4-D .(2003) .(2000 Gray Trigiano)
 NAA 2,4-D
 .(2002 Zeiger Tiaz)

) .(1998
 BA (B-3 B-2 B-1)

2000 ; 2007 Delloio) 1: 30
 BA .(2003
 2ip KIN
 .(1984 Krishnamurthy)
 .(C-3 C-2 C-1)

¹⁻ . 0.2 BA
 (2000)
 BA NAA 2,4-D .(2003

.(1990 Mineo)

Goodwin

.(1985)

.1992 .

.2000 .

.2003 .

.2006 .

Salvia officinalis

.1990 .

) .1998 .

. 673-671

.2003 .

.1990 .

Delloio, R. 2007. Cytokinin determine Arabidopsis root-meristem size by controlling cell differentiation. *Curr. Biol.*, 17:678-682.

Falk, K. L, J. Gershenzon and R.Croteau.1990. Metabolism of monoterpenes in cell culture common sage (*Salvia officinalis*).*Plant. physiol.*,39:1559-1567

Goodwin, M. 1985. Introduction to plant Biochemistry. Second edition pergamon press. New york.

Grzegorzki, I, I. Bilichowski, E. Olasik and H. Wysokinska. 2005. In vitro culture of *Salvia officinalis* L. AS a source of antioxidant compound. *Acta societatis Botanicorum Poloniae*,74(1):17-21.

Krishnamurthy, K. V, D. A. Godbole and A.F. Mascarenhas. 1984. Studies on adrought resistant legume :The moth bean *vigna acouitifoliu* -1- protoplast culture and organogenesis. *Plant Cell Rep.*,3:30-32.

- Mineo, L. 1990. Plant tissue culture techniques ,C.A. Gold man Editor,pp:151-174.
- Murashige, T. and F. Skoog. 1962. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue culture. *Physiol. Plant.* 15:473-797.
- Perry, N. B, R. E. Anderson, N.J. Brennan, M.H, Douglas, A.J. Heaney, J. A. Mcgimpsey and B.M. Smallfield. 1999. Essential oil from Dalmation sage (*Salvia officinalis L.*) variation among individuals, Plant parts, seasons and sites. *J. Agric .Food chem.*,47: 2048-2054.
- Staba, E, J. 2000. Plan tissue culture as a source of biochemical. CRC Press, Inc. Boca raton, Florida. pp:1-271.
- Taiz and E. Zeiger , 2002. *Planta Physiology*. Sinauer associates, Inc. Publishers. Sunderland.
- Trigiano, R.N and D. J. Gray. 2000. *Plant tissue culture concepts and laboratory exercises*, CRC Press LLC. Printed in The United States of America.

INFLUENCE OF SOME PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS AND EXPLANTS ON CALLUS INTIATOIN OF (Sage) *Salvia officinalis* IN VITRO .

Sami K. M. Ameen

Haider I. Mohammed

Hort. Dept. - College of Agric. - University of Baghdad .

ABSTRACT

A study on the effect of three kinds of Auxins and Benzyladenin (BA) on callus initiation from leaves ;shoot tip and internodes of (Sage) *Salvia officinalis* was carried out. The study was conducted at the tissue culture lab./Hort.Dept./College of Agric./Univ. of Baghdad, from April/2008 to October /2009 .Explants were cultured on a modified Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium.Five levels of either 2,4-dichloro phenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) , Naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA) or Indoleacetic acid (IAA) were added to the medium. Concentrations of each auxin were 0 ,0.5 ,1.0 , 2.0 or 4.0 mg.l⁻¹ ; four levels of BA 0 , 0.2 , 0.4 or 0.8 mg.l⁻¹ were supplemented to the medium. The study inculuded effect of each Auxin alone or in combination with BA on callus intiation. Results could be summarized as follows:

Callus intiation from leaves culture was superior in increasing callus fresh and dry weight companing with shoot tip and internode culture, when MS medium supplemented with the auxin alone or in combination with Cytokinin. Highest values of callus fresh and dry weight were registered when leaves were explanted on MS medium supplement with 1.0 mg/l of 2,4-D in combination with 0.2 mg.l⁻¹ of BA , the values were 0.3225 g and 0.0308 g. respectively .