

*Azotobacter chroococcum*

*Trichoderma harzianum*

( CRD )

*Azotobacter chroococcum*

( *T.harzianum* )

( *A.chroococcum* )

( % 100 % 50 )

( I<sub>3</sub> )

*A.chroococcum*

*T.harzianum* )

( % 120.83 % 119.38 %57.37)

( + *A.chroococcum*

( % 50 )

50 )

(% 222.22 % 146.26 % 85.18)

( %

(*T.harzianum*)

( *A.chroococcum*)

( % 100 )

( Pro-Vit-A )

( 1998 Hammad)

( 1996 Abdel-Ati )

. ( 1998 )

. ( 2000 Osip)

( 2007

( 1993 Okon Abbas) IAA  
.( 1998 )

*Trichoderma spp*

*Trichoderma spp* (2000 Harman )

*Azotobacter spp*

.( 1998 Viesturs)

( CRD)

(1)

-

(3)

(4)

(8)

(100% %50 )

(16)

(2)

( 1981 Becking)

(I<sub>3</sub>)

(<sup>1-</sup> 10) ( Burks media)

.( 1959 Allen)

*A.vinelandii*

% 98 ( DMTT) G

( 2 / 50 )

$$\frac{P_{2O_5} (200)}{(\% 100 \quad \% 50)} \quad (3) \quad / \quad (400) \quad (3)$$

$$(I_3) \quad (1- \quad 10) \quad (10^7 \times 3.25)$$

*T.harzianum*

$$(2- \quad 1) \quad 2009 \quad 12 \quad (7) \quad (10) \quad (3) \quad 10^6 \times 2$$

:

$$(1985 \quad \text{Elsahockie}) \quad 0.75 \times LW = (FLA) \quad = W \quad = L$$

**. 1**

4.8	1-	
7.17		( PH)
25.8	1-	
30.3		
507		
270	1-	
223		

**. 2**

*		( 1- . )	
( 1- 3. )			
% 100	% 50		
0.6	0.3	400	
0.3		200	

\*

. 3

		1
		2
		3

( Sucrose mineral salts Agar)

( %1 )

. *A.chroococcum*

*Azotobacter spp* (4)

<sup>1-</sup> . cfu (  $2.8 \times 10^6$   $1.3 \times 10^6$   $2.6 \times 10^4$  )

2005, )

*A.chroococcum*

.(2006

2005,

( 1980 Rao Charyulu)

.( 1974 Dobereiner)

( 1965 Rovira)

.4

( <sup>1-</sup> . )				
$2.6 \times 10^4$		I <sub>1</sub>		1
$1.3 \times 10^6$		I <sub>2</sub>		2
$2.8 \times 10^6$		I <sub>3</sub>		3

( 6 5 )

( *T.harzianum* + *A.chroococcum* )

( % 120.83 %57.37)

( 1997)

Govedarica

*A.chroococcum*  
 1- ( 90 )  
 ( 1998 )  
 ( 2008) Biri  
 ( 2000 , Harman )  
 Windham  
*Trichoderma spp* ( 1986)  
 100 , % 50 ) (2005 )  
 ( % 50 ) ( %  
 ( % 50 )

(% 222.22 % 85.18 )  
 ( % 100 )

( 2001) Dobbelaere  
 ( % 50 )  
 ( % 100)  
*A.chroococcum* + )  
 ( 2005 ), ( % 50 ) ( *T.harzianum*  
 ( % 50 )  
 . 5

المعدل	% 100	% 50	الأسمدة / المعاملات
15.25 c	17.00 bc	13.5 c	Control
19.62 b	19.00 abc	20.25 ab	<i>A.chroococcum</i>
17.87 bc	17.5 bc	18.25 bc	<i>T.harzianum</i>
24.00 a	23.00 ab	25.00 a	<i>A.chroococcum</i> + <i>T.harzianum</i>
	19.12 a	19.25 a	المعدل

تقارن قيم كل مجموعة من المتوسطات مع بعضها . القيم في المجموعة الواحدة ذات الحروف المتشابهة لا تختلف معنويًا فيما بينها حسب اختبار دنكن متعدد الحدود بمستوى احتمال 0.05 .

. 6

. ( 1- . )

	% 100	% 50	
1.20 c	1.5 bc	0.9 c	Control
1.95 b	1.9 b	2.0 b	<i>A.chroococcum</i>
1.60 bc	1.5 bc	1.7 bc	<i>T.harizianum</i>
2.65 a	2.4 ab	2.9 a	<i>A.chroococcum</i> + <i>T.harizianum</i>
	1.82 a	1.87 a	

تقارن قيم كل مجموعة من المتوسطات مع بعضها . القيم في المجموعة الواحدة ذات الحروف المتشابهة لا تختلف معنويا فيما بينها حسب اختبار دنكن متعدد الحدود بمستوى احتمال 0.05 .  
(7)

( *T.harizianum* + *A.chroococcum* )  
( %119.38 )

Nabila zaki .( 2000 Papic-Vidakovic)

( 2009 )

Charles-Edwards)

*T. harizianum* .( 1986

( N,P,K)

*T.harizianum* ( 2010) .( 2005, )  
( % 100 , % 50 )

(%100 % 50 )

( % 50 )

( % 50 ) ( *T.harizianum* + *A.chroococcum* )

. ( % 146.26 )

. ( 2 )

	% 100	% 50	
12.59 b	13.81 b	11.37 b	Control
22.90 a	22.50 a	23.30 a	<i>A.chroococcum</i>
25.12 a	24.50 a	25.75 a	<i>T.harizanium</i>
27.62 a	27.25 a	28.00 a	<i>A.chroococcum</i> + <i>T.harizanium</i>
	22.01 a	22.10 a	

. 0.05

.2005 .

. 1998 .

Penicillium ,Trichoderma ,

.2005.

*G.mosseae*

Aspergillus

.2007 .

.( 37)

.2005 .

*A.chroococcum*

*T.harizanium*

.2006 .

.2010 .

(10)

. ( 138- 129) ( 1)

Abbas,Z.and Y. Okon. 1993.plant growth promotion by *Azotobacter paspail* in the rhizosphere .Soil Biol.Boichem.25 :1075-1083 .

Abdel-Ati, Y.Y, A.M.M .Hammad, and M.Z.H. Ali. 1996.Nitrogen fixing and Phosphate solubilizing bacterias biofertilizers for potato plants under Minia conditins . First Egyptian Hungarian Hort. Conf.Kafr El-Sheikh ;Egypt .15-17 sept.

- Allen ,O.N. 1959.Experments in soil bacteriology. Burgess publishing co. Minneapolism, Minn, USA.
- Becking , J.H. 1981. The family Azotobacterceae In : starr, M.P(Ed) :”The Prokaryotes ”vol 1.springer-verlag . Berlin. Heidelbery. New York .p. 795-871 .
- Biri,A. Gholami, A. and H.A.Rahmani. 2008.growth promotion and Enhanced Nutrient Up take of maize (zea mays L.)by Application of plant Growth promoting Rhizobacteria in Arid Region of Iran.J.Bio.Sci.8(6)1015-1020
- Charles-Edwards,D.A, D. Doley.and G.M.Rimmington. 1986.Modlling plant Growth and development .Academic press,Sydney, Australia .
- Charyulu, P.B.B.N.and V.R. Rao. 1980.Influence of various soil factors on Nitrogen fixation by *Azospirillum spp* .Soil.Bio.Biochem.12:343-346.
- Dobbelaere , S.A. A.Thys ,D.Croonenborghs, ptacek and J.vanderleyden . 2001.Response of agronomically important crops to inoculation with *Azospirillum* .Aust.J. Plant Physiol .28. 871-879.
- Dobereiner,J. 1974.Nitrogen-fixing bacterial in the rhizosphere .p. 86-120. In quispel ,A.(Ed).The biology of nitrogen fixation .Elsevier pup.Co. North .Holland . Amesterdaw.
- Elsahockie,M.M. 1985. Ashortent method for estimating plant leaf area in Maize *Z.Acker-undpflanz en bau* Ct. J.Agron. Crop Sci.154: 157-160.
- Govedarica , M, N, Milosevic and M. Jarak. 1997.Biological N<sub>2</sub> Fixation in agriculture:possibility ,application andprospectives. Zbornikvadove – youngoslavia ,. 29: 35 – 43 .
- Hammad, A.M.M. 1998 . Evaluation of alginate- encapsulated *Azotobacter chroococcum* as a phage-resistant and effective inoculum . J. Basic Microbiol .38 : 9-16.
- Harman ,G.E .2000.Myths and dogmas of biocontrol changes in perceptions derived from research *Trichoderma harzianum*. Plantdisease. 84(4) : 377 – 393 .
- Nabila Zaki, A.M. Gomao, Amal Galal and A.A. Farrag .2009.The Associaive Impect of certain diazotrophs and farmyard manure on two rice Varieties growth in a newly cultivated land .Reseach J.Agr. and Bio. Sci.5(2) : 185-190.
- Osip ,C.A, S.S. Ballescás , L.P. Osip , N.L. Besarino, A.D. Bagayna, C.B , Jumalon. 2000.Philippine council for Agr.Forestry and Natural Resources Research and Technology .143 :17-18.
- Papic-Vidakovic .T . 2000 . An Efficiency of *Azotobacter* Soil .No visad (Yugoslavia ) .
- Rovira, A.D. 1965.Plant microb relationships pbl. House Gzec .Acod .Sci . Prague :193 .

- Viesturs,U.; A.V.Steinberg, A.Apsite and A .Tula .1998. Effect of Azotobacter and Trichoderma upon sugar Beet .In:Elmerich,C.Kondorosi ,A;Newton ,W.E.eds :Biol. Biochem.8 ;91-93 .
- Windham,M.T. Y, Elad and R. Baker. 1986. A mechanism for increased Growth induced by Tricoderma spp .Phytopathology. 76: 518 - 521.

## **RESPONSE OF MAIZE PLANT TO INCULCATION BY *AZOTOBACTER CHROOCOCCUM* BACTERIA ,*TRICHODERMA HARZIANUM* FUNGI AND NITROGEN FERTILIZER .**

F.M.Suhal \*

A .A. Mehdi

A.H.Fahmi

\* Horticulture Dept. College of Agri. Diyala University.

### **ABSTRACT**

A factorial pot experiment was conducted by using (CRD) design on sandy loam soil , out as well as isolation classification purification of *A.chroococcum* Bacteria to study the effect of interaction between *A.chroococcum* bacteria and *T.harzianum* fungi and two levels of nitrogen fertilizer (50% and 100% ) on growth of maize plant .

The results of classification showed that all the three isolate belong to *A.chroococcum* species ,the isolate (I<sub>3</sub>) was selected as local isolated and used as a biofertilizer in pot experiment .

The results showed that application of biofertilizer caused significantly increment in plant height , leaf area and dry weight compared with out addition of biofertilizer irrespective with application of nitrogen fertilizer . the highest number with the addition of duplicate biofertilizer caused significantly increment (57.37% ,119.38 % and 120.83% ) for plant height , dry weight and leaf area respectively compared with out addition of biofertilizer .

The highest number with the addition of duplicate biofertilizer and with (50%) of nitrogen fertilizer caused significantly increased (85.18% , 146.26% and 222.22% ) for plant height ,leaf area and dry weight respectively The interaction between *A.chroococcum* bacteria and *T.harzianum* fungi were positively ,while addition (100%)of nitrogen fertilizer caused no significant increased in plant height and dry weight compared with adding biofertilizer.

2010 170 - 162 : ( 1 ) 2