

Republic of Iraq
Ministry of Higher Education
and Scientific Research
University of Diyala
College of Veterinary Medicine



University of Diyala

**Serological and Molecular Detection of Chicken Anemia
Virus in Chickens and Japanese Quails in Diyala
Province**

A Thesis

Submitted to the Council of the College of Veterinary Medicine-University of
Diyala in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of
Science in Veterinary Microbiology

By

Haneen Ghazwan Yahya

B.V.M.S.

University of Diyala

Supervised by

**Prof.Dr.
Karim Sadun Ali**

**Asst.Prof.Dr.
Amer Khazaal Salih**

2018 A.D.

1439 A.H.

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

وَلَوْ أَنَّمَا فِي الْأَرْضِ مِنْ شَجَرَةٍ أَقْلَامٌ وَالْبَحْرُ يَمُدُّهُ مِنْ بَعْدِهِ سَبْعَةُ أَبْحُرٍ مَا نَفِدَتْ

كَلِمَاتُ اللَّهِ ۗ إِنَّ اللَّهَ عَزِيزٌ حَكِيمٌ (27)

صِدْقَةُ اللَّهِ الْعَظِيمَةُ

سُورَةُ لُقْمَانَ: الْآيَةُ [27]

Dedication

To

My mother

*A strong and gentel soul who taught me to trust in Allah,
believe in hard work and that a lot could be made from little.*

My father

*My guardian angel and the light of my life who always
supported me whatever path I took.*

My sister Raghad

My soul mate who was with me in all steps of my work.

HANEEN

Acknowledgments

I extend my deep thanks and words of appreciation to Allah for giving me the power to finish this work in spite of all the difficulties I went through.

*I express deepest gratitude and grand full thanks to my supervisor **Prof. Dr. Karim Sadun Ali** for his encouragement, support , valuable advices, and guiding me step by step throughout the study, without whose assistance, this research is difficult to be achieved.*

*I submit my heartiest gratitude and thankfulness to my respected supervisor **Asst.Prof. Dr. Amer khazaal Saleh** for his help , scientific guides in lab work and providing me the facilities to finish this research .*

*I would like to thank **Mr. Dean** and College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Diyala.*

*In particular I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Abdulrazak Shafiq Hasan** for allowing me practice on ELISA in his own labratory*

*I would also like to express my very great appreciation to **Assist. Prof. Muthana Muhamad Ibrahim** for his help and cooperation in providing some chemical substances .*

*My thanks must be extended to **Assist. Prof. Dr.Zahid Ismaeel**, for his valuable advices in statistic analysis. Finally.*

*I would like to thank my colleagues **Ghazwan Khdair Alwan, Usama Abdulkarim Ayish** and **Sarah Amer Khazaal** for them kind help and cooperation.*

HANEEN

(Declaration form)

I hereby declare that this thesis entitled titled **“Serological and Molecular Detection of Chicken anemia virus in Chickens and Japanese Quails in Diyala Province”** presented at the **College of Veterinary Medicine-University of Diyala in 2018**, is my original work, except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been submitted previously or concurrently, for any other degree at the University of Diyala or other universities.

Haneen Ghazwan Yahya

Date: / / 2018

HANEEN

Summary

Chicken infectious anemia or chicken anemia virus is a viral disease found worldwide, and caused by a single DNA virus from *Circoviridae*. The virus targeted the bone marrow of susceptible chicken and led to hemocytoblast destruction that was conjugated to erythroid and myeloid cell depletion. This led to severe anemia. Severe atrophy of thymus associated with destruction of progenitor cells of T lymphocytes was attributed to the infection with this virus and led to depletion of T cytotoxic and T helper cells.

This study was conducted from October 21st, 2017 to June 5th, 2018 and aimed to investigate, for the first time in Iraq, the seropositivity rate of anti chicken anemia virus antibodies and chicken anemia virus viral protein1 gene in poultry group of Diyala province. Accordingly 17, chickens and quails (broiler, layer, backyard and Japanese quail) of different ages and from 13 different sites.

Serum samples from 400 birds 100 samples each of a bird's type (broilers, layers, Japanese quails, and backyard indigenous fowls), were collected and subjected to chicken anemia virus Enzyme Linked Immuno Sorbent Assay test. Furthermore, 50 egg embryo tissue samples from five hatcheries, 30 Enzyme Linked Immuno Sorbent Assay test chicken anemia virus positive serum samples, and 4 commercial poultry virus vaccines were subjected to DNA extraction by the use of specific kit and subjected to Polymerase chain reaction. All the tests were performed in virology and molecular biology laboratory of the College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Diyala, Iraq.

The results showed that was 54.75% as 219 serum samples were positive out of 400 tested serum samples.

Summary

In broilers the overall seropositivity rate was 43%. The high seropositivity rate (30.23%) was observed in broiler group of one week of age. This was followed by seropositive rate of 23.25%, 20.93%, 16.28%, and 9.3% for the age groups of 4 weeks, 3 weeks, 5 weeks and 2 weeks respectively.

The overall seropositivity rate in layers was 60% . The age group of 30 weeks of age showed seropositivity rate of 33.3% followed by seropositivity rate of 31.7%, 26.7% and 8.3% for the age groups 28 weeks, 8 weeks and 10 weeks respectively.

Japanese quails group showed an overall seropositivity rate of 29.0% among which the age group of 2 weeks showed high seropositivity rate of 44.8%. Other 3 groups reported seropositivity rate of 31.0%, 17.2% and 6.9% for the age groups of 3 weeks, 4 weeks and 5 weeks respectively.

High seropositivity rates were noticed with local (indigenous) fowl group when overall seropositivity rate of 87% was reported. The seropositivity rate among age groups ranged from 23% to 27.6%.

The overall seropositivity rates of 4 studied bird groups was 54.75%. The local fowls group reported the highest seropositivity rate of 39.7% and followed by layers (27.4%), broilers (19.6%) and quails (13.2%).

According to Sample/Negative ratio of detected anti-chicken anemia virus antibodies, 85 birds (21.3%) appeared with high level of anti-chicken anemia virus antibodies and low Sample/Negative ratio ranged from 0.001-0.199, 64 birds (16%) with medium level of anti-chicken anemia virus antibodies and moderate Sample/Negative ratio ranged from 0.200-0.399

Summary

and 70 birds (17.5%) with low anti-chicken anemia virus antibodies level and high Sample/Negative ratio ranged from 0.400-0.599.

All the tested 17 group from 13 locations of Diyala province were serologically positive to anti-chicken anemia virus antibodies and the highest positivity was detected in Al-Khalis (16.9%).

Polymerase chain reaction results showed that all tissue samples from 50 egg embryos and the 4 commercial vaccines were negative to chicken anemia virus DNA. Furthermore, 2 serum samples from young broilers were positive to Polymerase chain reaction out of 30 Polymerase chain reaction tested serum samples.

Antibodies to chicken anemia virus was widely distributed in poultry farms of Diyala province that required restricted and effective control measures to avoid the possibility of complicated infections and vaccines failure as the virus was reported to cause immune suppression in infected birds.

Table of contents

Section No.	Subjects	Page No.
I.	Summary	I
II.	Table of contents	IV
III.	List of tables	VIII
IV.	List of figures	IX
V.	List of abbreviations	XI
Chapter One: Introduction		
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Aims of the study	5
Chapter Two: Review of Literature		
2	Review of Literature	6
2.1	Historical background	6
2.2	The Virus	6
2.3	Synonyms	7
2.4	Definition of the disease	7
2.5	Morphology and Classification of the virus	8
2.6	Chemical structure of the virus	9

2.7	Effect of chemical and physical factors on the virus	10
2.8	Biological properties of the virus	11
2.8.1	Isolation of the virus	11
2.8.2	Replication cycle in cells	11
2.8.3	Antigenic relationship of CAVisolates	13
2.9	Immunology	13
2.10	Effects of CAVon immune function	14
2.11	Epidemiology of CAV	17
2.12	Occurrence of CAVin backyard (indigenous)chickens	18
2.13	Other birds infected with CAV	19
2.14	CAVinfection in other animals	20
2.15	Transmission	20
2.16	Clinical signs	21
2.17	Pathogenesis of CAV	22
2.18	Immuno-pathogenesis of CAV	23
2.18.a	Effects of CAVon hemopoietic cells in bone marrow	24
2.18.b	Effects on lymphoid tissues	25
2.18.c	Chicken anemia virus and apoptosis	26
2.19	Variation in CAVpathogenicity	28
2.20	Age resistance to CAV-induced disease	29

2.21	Recovery from CAVinfection	30
2.22	Diagnosis	30
2.22.1	Clinical signs	30
2.22.2	Laboratory diagnosis	31
2.22.a	Serologic Diagnosis	31
2.22.b	Molecular Diagnosis	33
2.22.3	Differential Diagnosis	34
2.23	Control	35

Chapter three: Subjects, materials and methods

3.1	Study design	37
3.2	Materials	38
3.2.1	The laboratory equipment's	38
3.2.2	Diagnostic and Laboratory Kits	39
3.2.2.a	ELISA Kit	39
3.2.2.b	DNA Extraction Kit	40
3.2.2.c	CAV PCR Kit	42
3.2.3	Chemicals	43
3.3	Methods	43
3.3.1	Collection of samples	43
3.3.1.a	Serum samples	43
3.3.1.b	PCR Samples	44
3.3.2	Processing samples	45
3.3.2.1	Processing Samples for ELISA Test	45

3.3.2.1.a	Washing Solution Preparation	46
3.3.2.1.b	Samples Dilution	46
3.3.2.1.c	Procedure	46
3.3.2.1.d	Principles of the ELISA Kit	47
3.3.2.1.e	Interpretation of the CAV ELISA Results	48
3.3.2.2	Processing samples for PCR	48
3.3.2.2.a	DNA Extraction	49
3.3.2.2.b	PCR Procedure	50
3.3.2.2.c	Photography and Reading of Results	52
Chapter Four: Results		
4.1	Seropositivity rate of CAV	53
4.1.1	Seropositivity rate of CAV in broilers	53
4.1.2	Seropositivity rate of CAV in layers	55
4.1.3	Seropositivity rate of CAV in Japanese quails	56
4.1.4	Seropositivity rate of CAV in local fowls	58
4.1.5	Seropositivity rate of CAV according to bird species	59
4.1.6	Level of anti-CAV antibodies in association to S/N ratio	60
4.1.7	Seropositivity rate of CAV according to region	63
4.2	Detection of chicken anemia virus DNA by PCR	65
Chapter five: Discussion		
5.1	Seropositivity rate of chicken anemia virus (CAV) among poultry group	67
5.1.1	Seropositivity rate of CAV in Broilers	67
5.1.2	Seropositivity rate of CAV in Layers	69

5.1.3	Seropositivity rate in Japanese Quails	71
5.1.4	Seropositivity rate in Local Fowls	72
5.1.5	Seropositivity rate of CAV according to bird species	74
5.1.6	Antibody levels among CAV tested sera	76
5.1.7	Seropositivity rate to CAV according to bird location	76
5.2	Serpositivity to CAV according to bird location	77
Chapter Six: Conclusions and Recommendations		
6.1	Conclusions	81
6.2	Recommendations	82
	References	83

List of Tables

No.	Title	Page
Chapter Three		
3.1	Laboratory equipment's and appliances	38
3.2	Contents of the CAV ELISA Diagnostic kit	39
3.3	Showed the composition of DNA Extraction Kit	40
3.4	Showed the composition of PCR Kit	42
3.5	Chemicals and their manufacturer's company	43
3.6	Serum samples collected from birds according to kind of birds and locality of farms	43
3.7	Number of embryonated samples and locality of hatcheries	44
3.8	Samples used for detection of CAV by PCR	45
3.9	Commercial vaccine samples used for detection of CAV by PCR	45

Chapter Four		
4.1.1	Sero-prevalence of CAV in broilers according to age groups	54
4.1.2	Sero-prevalence of CAV in layers according to age groups	55
4.1.3	Sero-prevalence of CAV in Japanese quails according to age Groups	57
4.1.4	Sero-prevalence of CAV in local fowls according to age Groups	58
4.1.5	Sero-prevalence of CAV according to bird species	60
4.1.6	Antibody levels to CAV in different bird species groups according to S/N ratio	62
4.1.7	CAVseropositivity rate in serum samples collected from birds of different districts of Diyala province	64
4.2	The results of PCR of tested poultry different samples	66

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Chapter Two: Review of Literatures		
2.1	Gyrovirus virion	8
2.2	Genome organization of an isolate of CAV	9
2.3	ssDNA Rolling circle	12
Chapter three: Subjects, materials and methods		
3.1	Experimental design of CAV project	37

3.2	The Contents of Anti chicken anemia virus (CAV) Blocking (Competitive) Format ELISA kits for poultry	40
3.3	Composition of DNA Extraction Kit for CAV	41
3.4	The composition of PCR Kit	42
Chapter Four: Results		
4.1.1	Descriptions of CAV serum positive and negative samples of broilers according to age groups	54
4.1.2	Descriptions of CAVserum positive and negative samples of layers according to age groups	56
4.1.3	Descriptions of CAVserum positive and negative samples of Japanese quails according to age groups	57
4.1.4	Descriptions of CAVserum positive and negative samples of local fowls according to age groups	59
4.1.5	Descriptions of CAVserum positive and negative samples according to bird species	60
4.1.6	shows the descriptions of CAVserum positive and negative samples according to bird species. Bars are the number of samples, H, M and L (high, medium and low) levels of Anti-CAVantibodies respectively, N (negative) for Anti-CAVantibodies	62
4.1.7	Descriptions of CAVserum positive and negative samples according to bird species	65
4.2	Results of CAVserum samples subjected to PCR. Lane M (molecular weight markers), Lane 1 (PCR negative control), Lane 2 (PCR positive control, 675bp),Lanes, 3, 4 and 5 PCR negative serum samples, Lanes 6 and 7 PCR positive serum	66

	<p>samples, lanes, 9, 10, 11 and 12 are PCR negative serum samples, Lane 13 molecular weight markers. All the samples were electrophoresed in 2% agarose, in TAE buffer under 120 Volts power supply for 1 hour.</p>	
--	--	--

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
MDCC-MSB1	Marek's Disease Chicken Cell
LSCC-1104B1	Lymphoma Spleen Chicken Cell
AGID	Agar gel immune-diffusion
B lymphocyte	Bone marrow lymphocyte
CAV	Chicken anemia virus
CD3	Cluster of differentiation 3
CD4	Cluster of differentiation 4
CD8	Cluster of differentiation 8
ConA	Concanavalin A
Cux-1	Cuxhaven
CT1	Cytotoxic T lymphocyte
CMI	Cell Mediated Immunity
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
ELISA	Enzyme Linked Immuno Sorbent Assay
FcR	Fragment crystallizable receptor
Gyv3	Gyrovirus 3
HI	Hemagglutination inhibition
ILT	Infectious Laryngotracheitis
IDE	IDEXX ELISA
IBDV	Infectious Bursal Disease Virus
INF	Interferon

IL	Interleukin
MD	Marek`s disease
mRNA	Messenger ribonucleic acids
MAb	Monoclonal antibody
MBE	Monoclonal blocking ELISA
ND	Newcastle disease
ORF	Open Reading Frams
PCV	Packed cell volume
WK	Week
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PI	Post infection
RBCs	Red blood cells
RFLP	Restriction fragment length polymorphism
SPF	Specific pathogen free
TCR	T-cell receptor
T lymphocyte	Thymic lymphocyte
VP	Viral protein
S/N	Sample/Negative

Chapter One

Introduction

1.1.Introduction

Chicken anemia virus (CAV) infection is an important disease of poultry from economic and health points of view for the poultry industry, and it is worldwide in its distribution (Schat, 2009; Oluwayelu, 2010; Bhatt *et al.*, 2011).

The disease was first recognized and isolated from affected chicks in Japan in 1979 (Yuasa *et al.*, 1979) but Toro *et al.*, (2006) mentioned that the disease was circulated in USA since 1959 as was detected in stock sera. The disease was contagious and effecting mainly young chicken one to four weeks old, and resulted in severe anemia with lymphoid atrophy (Dhama *et al.*, 2008). The infection also caused severe immune suppression that increased the susceptibility of infected bird to secondary infections of bacterial, fungal and/or other viruses due to low immune responses to such agents or to vaccination programs (Hangood *et al.*, 2000; De Herdt *et al.*, 2001; Hoerr, 2010).

The only known host of CAV was chicken, but many other studies suggested the infection of other birds when certain levels of antibodies to CAV were detected in Japanese quails (Gholami-Ahangaran and Zia-Jahromu, 2012), whereas, pigeons, ducks, crows and some other domestic poultry were reported to be negative to carry such antibodies (McNulty *et al.*, 1988; Farkas *et al.*, 1998). These findings indicated that the virus was well adapted to its host (Miller and Schat, 2004) and was worldwide in its distribution (Schat 2003).

Clinically, the disease infected young chicks that showed depression, with pale mucous membranes, and lethargic, hemorrhages could be observed

under skin of different body parts including wings (Blue wing disease). Mortality rates generally were variable and increased with presence of secondary infections due to immune suppression (Toro *et al.*,2000). Other hemorrhagic diseases like hemorrhagic syndrome, gangrenous dermatitis, anemia dermatitis, hemorrhagic anemia syndrome, and infectious plastic anemia might be attributed to play a key role of CAV(Toro *et al.*, 2000; Schat, 2003; Dhama *et al.*, 2008).

Blood samples from infected chickens were watery with low PCV, and increased time to clot, whereas blood smears showed anemia with pancytopenia or leukopenia. Postmortem findings revealed hemorrhagic lesions in the muscular tissue, and other organs. Most organs of infected birds appeared pale, with atrophied thymuses and bursae (Taniguchi *et al.*, 1983). Histological microscopic testing of lymphoid organs showed depleted tissues. Atrophic and hypoplastic lesions were also observed in bone marrow of infected birds (Dhama *et al.*, 2002b; Schat, 2003).

The economic losses in poultry industry due to CAV might be attributed to poor weight gain of infected birds in comparison to uninfected birds, immune suppression and susceptibility to secondary infection, and losses from mortality due to active infection (Adair, 2000; Dhama *et al.*, 2008).

The causative virus was found to be transmitted horizontally by fecal-oral route (Yuasa *et al.*, 1983) or vertically from infected male and female parents (Hoop, 1992; Cardona *et al.*, 2000a), regardless of their immune status or antibody titer (Miller *et al.*, 2003; Brentano *et al.*, 2005). Furthermore, detection of anti-CAV antibodies in specific pathogen free birds at the lay onset gave a suggestion of the possibility that the virus might

maintained itself somehow in the reproductive organs of such chickens in a way similar to latency or persistent infections, later the virus reactivated at sexual maturity stage of such birds (Cardona *et al.*, 2000b; Miller and Schat 2004; Miller *et al.*, 2008). Detection of the virus in the shaft of feathers of some infected birds increased the possibility of the presence of other modes of transmission due to dissemination of the virus that might lead to other contaminations (Davidson *et al.*, 2008).

Chicken anemia virus is a single stranded circular negative sense or ambisense DNA virus. It is the smallest among DNA viruses and classified within the genus *Gyrovirus*. This genus and the genus *Circovirus* were classified with the family *Circoviridae*. The virus DNA was encoded for viral proteins VP1, VP2 and VP3 (Ducatez *et al.*, 2008).

Many other viruses were found to be associated with CAVinfection like infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) and gallid herpesvirus 2 (Mahzounieh *et al.*, 2005). These viruses might play a role on CAVpotential effects as immune suppressive disease agent. Furthermore, this factor with the contagious nature of the virus, its worldwide distribution, the ability to cause latent infections and its vertical transmission might gain the virus much importance (De Herdt *et al.*, 2001; Schat, 2003; Eltahir *et al.*, 2011).

In some other countries like India, CAVwas given special attention and importance when it was regarded as emergent viral disease of poultry that needed urgent screening and high control measures (Natesan *et al.*, 2006; Dhama *et al.*, 2008; Bhatt *et al.*, 2011).

Reports mentioned the detection of CAV-related virus in feces, skin and blood of humans, and in feces of stray cats, may threaten potentially the

healthy human (Sauvage *et al.*, 2011; Ye *et al.*, 2015). In case of active infections of birds, it was recommended to depend on clinical findings for the purpose of CAVdiagnosis. Furthermore, this can be confirmed by many serological tests like Immunofluorescent antibody test, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and virus neutralization using of reference serum. Molecular techniques like polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and genomic analysis by sequencing or restriction enzyme fragment length polymorphism might be required when such virus was isolated or its genomic genes were detected. The same above mentioned techniques can be used for screening the virus for epidemiological purposes (Dhama *et al.*, 2002a; Schat, 2009; Manoharan *et al.*,2012).

Many vaccination programs were followed to control the virus. These programs were used recombinant DNA vaccines, live-attenuated and inactivated vaccines, and immune complex vaccines. These vaccines were reported to be effected and protective against the infection with CAVboth in broilers and layers (Dhama *et al.*, 2008; Schat, 2009). Some other strategies of protection depend on administration of broad spectrum antibiotics to avoid secondary complications resulted from bacterial or fungal infections due to weak immune responses du to CAVinfection (Dhama *et al.*, 2002; Schat, 2003).

In Iraq, no data were available on screening poultry for the presence of CAVinfection in broiler, layers and other birds. Furthermore, virus gens were not detected and/or the virus was not isolated. Accordingly, the present study was designed and aimed to:

1.2. Aims of the study

The study aims at:

- 1- Detection of anti-CAV antibodies (sero-positivity) in the poultry farms (Broilers and Layers), local backyard (indigenous) fowls, and Japanese quails in Diyala province.
- 2- Detection of CAV gene, by the use of conventional polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in embryonated hens eggs, available commercial vaccines, and positive sera of screened birds.