

**Republic of Iraq** Ministry of Higher Education And Scientific Research University of Diyala College of Veterinary Medicine



T L

# Phenotypic, Molecular Identification and Risk Factors Evaluation of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolated from Skin Lesions in Sheep and Their Breeders

#### A Thesis

Submitted to the Council of College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Diyala in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Veterinary Medicine (Zoonosis)

Submitted By

### Zainab Bresam Fajer

B.Ch.B. Veterinary Medicine and sergery College of Veterinary Medicine-Baghdad University (1997)

Supervised by

Prof. Dr.

Ali Ibrahim Ali AL-Ezzy 2022 A. D. Assist. Prof. Dr.

Ahmed H. Khalaf 1444 A. H

بين مِ ٱللَّهِ ٱلرَّحْمَ ٱلرَّحِبِ مِ (قُلْ لَوْ كَانَ الْبَحْرُ مِدَادًا لِكَلِمَ اتِ رَبِّى لَنَفِدَ الْبَحْرُ قَبْلَ أَنْ تَنْفَدَ كَلِمَاتُ رَبِّي وَلَوْ جِئْنَا بِمِثْلِهِ متدَا.) صدق الله العظيم س\_\_\_ورة الكهف

الآية (109)

Dedication

To the one who carried the niche of science and flooded the universe with the light of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) To who spent the rest of jihad to reach desired and his soul covers from its attic with blessing what has been accomplished my father,

May God have mercy on his soul To my kindergarten when my chest narrows the spring that Patent has shown me and drowned me with kindness and helped me to pray my loving mother To candles that lit my life ... my children and who support me... my brothers and sisters to all those I dedicate the fruit of my effort.

## Acknowledgements

*Thanks and gratitude first and foremost to ((ALLAH)) who honored me by completing this studies.* 

Heartily thankful to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Ali I. Ali AL-Ezzy for Suggesting the study, his guidance throughout my study, without his efforts this work cannot be achieved.

I would like to express my gratitude to my Co-advisor Assist. Prof. Dr. Ahmed H. Khalaf for all his guidance.

Thanks and appreciation to the dean of Veterinary Medicine College Assist. Prof. Dr. Khalid Ibrahim Abd Alkhazraji, for all his support for post graduate students.

Thanks and grateful to all efforts and helps that supports me kindly from the Department of Internal and Preventive Medicine.

I would like to thanks and appreciate Dr. Thamer Al khafajey general director of Veterinary Bureau, for his generous support and guidance, and Dr. Ebtesam Jasim Manager of Diyala Veterinary Hospital for all her helpful and kindness.

Finally, I would like to thank my friends and everyone who helped me and apologies to those whom I forgot to mention.

Zainab Bresam Fajer

#### ABSTRACT

*Staphylococcus aureus* is one of the dominant pathogenic bacteria among skin infections in human and animals.

The current study designed for Isolation and identification of *S. aureus* from sheep breeders and sheep by conventional method &Automated Vitek 2 system, Molecular identification of *S. aureus* using 23rRNA (staur4 and 6), Detection of methicillin resistant gene (*mecA*), Beta- lactamase gene (*blaZ*), Beta-hemolysin factor gene (*Hib*) and Identification of *S. aureus* biofilm producing gene (Inter Cellular Adhesions A & D) by conventional PCR; Studying antimicrobial susceptibility pattern for *S. aureus* by Kirby Bauer disk diffusion method, Evaluation of relationship between *S. aureus*, MRSA infections and possible risk factors in sheep breeders and sheep.

A total of 119 skins lesion samples collected from sheep breeders (44) and sheep (75) in the period since Oct. 2021 up to Feb. 2022, of Baladruze Distract - Kannan Regions and Baqubah Distract-Buhruz Regions. Swabs were cultured on mannitol salt agar, Blood agar, verified by Gram stain, Nigrosin stain, biochemical tests (Catalase, Coagulase, and DNase), confirming by VITEK2 technique and conventional PCR for (staur4 and 6) and methicillin resistant gene (mecA). Evaluation of antibiotic sensitivity for Methicillin, Oxacillin. Levofloxacin. Ofloxacin, Ciprofloxacin, Erythromycin, Gentamycin, Tetracycline, Rifampicin, Imipenem, Vancomycin and Chloramphenicol, Molecular detection by conventional PCR for beta hemolysin gene (Hib), Inter Cellular Adhesions (IcaA & IcaD); Betalactamase gene (blaZ).

*Staphylococcus aureus* was isolated from 15/44, (34.09%) from Sheep breeders skin lesions, whereas MRSA was 6/15, (40%), *S. aureus* was isolated from 46/75, (61.33%) from sheep skin lesions and MRSA was 14/46, (30.43%).

Ι

Male sheep breeders are more infected (29.54%) with MRSA (11.36%),more than female breeders (4.54%) and MRSA (2.27%), the main age group infected with *S. aureus* among sheep breeders (51-60) years, by (13.63%), have the highest incidence of MRSA (11.36%).

A significant positive association was observed through direct contact with sheep skin lesions and hospitalization during the last 4 weeks and recent visits to Outpatient clinics.

It has also been observed that (40%) of sheep at the <age of 2.8 years are infected with skin lesions caused by *S. aureus*, while *S. aureus* infection was higher among male sheep (29.54%), MRSA (11.36%), versus in female (4.54%), MRSA (2.27%). *S. aureus* infection was higher among sheep female (41.33%), MRSA (12%).

Ewes have greater risk for infection with *S. aureus*, MRSA, among rams. Significant correlations were reported between infection with MRSA and breed Awassi have greater risk for infection with *S. aureus* for mixed breed, While Significant positive association to Sheep Abscess, Abrasions have risk to get infection with *S. aureus* versus sheep with intact skin

Intra cellular adhesion gene (*IcaA*), was detected (5/5), 100% of sheep breeders *S. aureus* isolates versus 4/5, (80%) of sheep isolates by Conventional PCR (*IcaD*) gene was not detected in all 10 isolates from sheep breeders and sheep. Beta hemolysin gene (*Hib*) was not detected in sheep breeder isolates versus 40% of sheep isolates. Methicillin resistant gene (*mecA*) detected among (40%) of sheep breeder of *S. aureus*, and in (30.43%) isolate of sheep. Beta-Lactamase gene (*blaZ*) in *S. aureus* isolate of sheep breeders and sheep was detected in 100% of selected isolates by Conventional PCR.

*Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from sheep breeders have resistance for members of antibiotics classes;' penicillins, polypeptides, and fluoroquinolones. Macrolide which include the following: methicillin, levofloxacin, Ofloxacin, erythromycin and vancomycin. A total of (40%) of *S. aureus* from sheep

breeders have multidrug resistant trait for Penicillins, Polypeptides, Fluoroquinolones, Macrolide antibiotics. While a Non multidrug resistant *S. aureus* from sheep breeders was reported for Penicillins (oxacillin, 4/15, 26%) and Polypeptides antibiotics (vancomycin 8/15, 53.33%). Absolute sensitivity was reported for gentamycin, tetracycline, rifampicin, Imipenem and chloramphenicol.

Levofloxacin and Ofloxacin resistance was reported in (34.78%) of sheep isolates. A total of (30%) of *S. aureus* have resistance for methicillin that was confirmed early by detection of *mecA* gene. Oxacillin and erythromycin resistance was reported in (17.39%). Vancomycin resistance was reported in (8.69%). Absolute sensitivity was reported for ciprofloxacin, gentamycin, tetracycline, rifampicin, Imipenem and chloramphenicol among sheep isolates.

Non Multidrug resistant *S. aureus* isolated from sheep have resistant for fluoroquinolones (17.39%), Penicillins (13.04%), polypeptide antibiotics, (8.69%). Multidrug resistant *S. aureus* isolated from sheep have resistance for Penicillins, Fluoroquinolones, Macrolide, (17.39%).

## **List of Contents**

No.	Items	Pag
		e
	abstract	Ι
	List of Contents	IV
	List of Table	VIII
	List of Figures	XII
	List of Abbreviations	XIII
	Chapter one;	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Objective Aims of the Study	3
	Chapter two;	4
2.	Literature Review	4
2.1.	History	4
2.2.	General Characteristic of Staphylococcus aureus	4
2.3.	Taxonomy	5
2.4.	Staphylococcus aureus associated Diseases.	6
2.5.	Staphylococcus aureus and MRSA	6
2.5.1	Beta Lactam Antibiotics	9
2.5.2	Mechanism of Beta-lactams Action	11
2.6	Epidemiology of S. aureus Infections	12
2.7	Staphylococcus aureus Virulence Factors.	13
2.7.1	Toxins	14
2.7.2	Extra-cellular Enzymes	14
2.7.3	Staphylococcus aureus Biofilm	15
2.8	Pathogenesis of Staphylococcus aureus	17
2.9	Clinical Findings of Skin Lesion.	18
2.10	Laboratory Diagnosis.	23
2.11	Recent Approaches for Anti MRSA Therapy	24

<b>3.1</b> Materials2 <b>3.1.1</b> Chemical and Culture Media23.1.2Equipment3	29 29 29 30 31 31
<b>3.1.1</b> Chemical and Culture Media23.1.2Equipment3	29 30 31
3.1.2 Equipment	30 31
	31
3.1.3 Antibiotics	31
3.2.4 VITEK2 System 3	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	32
	32
3.1.7 The PCR Instruments	33
3.2 Methods 3	33
3.2.1 The Geography of Study Area	33
3.2.2 Samples Collection 3	33
3.3 Cultivation of <i>S. aureus</i>	35
3.3.1 Mannitol Salt Agar 3	35
3.3.2 Blood Agar	35
3.3.3 DNase Agar	35
3.3.4 Mueller Hinton Agar	36
3.3.5 Brain Heart Infusion Agar Preparation	36
3.4 Staining 3	37
<b>3.5</b> Biochemical Tests for Diagnosis of <i>S. aureus</i>	38
<b>3.6</b> Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test	40
<b>3.7</b> Confirmation of <i>S. aureus</i> Diagnosis	40
3.7.1 VITEK2 System 4	40
<b>3.7.2</b> Molecular Detection of <i>S. aureus</i>	40
	45
	46
3.10 Statistical Analysis	48
4 Chapter four; Results 4	<b>49</b>
4.1 Demographic Characterization for Sheep Breeders and 4	<b>49</b>
Sheep	
	49
4.1.2 Demographic Characterization for Sheep 4	<b>49</b>
4.2 Isolation Rating of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> and Methicillin	50
Resistant S. aureus (MRSA) from Sheep Breeder and Sheep5	50
4.2.1 Isolation Rating of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> and Methicillin	
Resistant S. <i>aureus</i> (MRSA) from Sheep Breeders	51
	- 4
<b>4.2.2</b> Isolation Kating of <i>Suprytococcus unreus</i> and Metherinin	54
Resistant S. <i>aureus</i> (MRSA) from Sheep.	
4.3 Correlation between Isolation Rates of <i>S. aureus</i> , MRSA	54
via Age of Sheep Breeders and Sheep	

4.3.1	<b>Correlation between Isolation Rates of</b> <i>S. aureus</i> , MRSA via Age of Sheep Breeders	55
4.3.2	<b>4.3.2.</b> Correlation between Isolation Rates of <i>S. aureus</i> , MRSA via Age of Sheep	56
4.4	<b>Correlation between Isolation Rates of</b> <i>S. aureus</i> , MRSA via Sex of Sheep Breeders and Sheep.	56
4.4.1	<b>Correlation between Isolation Rates of</b> <i>S. aureus</i> , MRSA via Sex of Sheep Breeders.	57
4.4.2	<b>Correlation between Isolation Rates of S.</b> <i>aureus</i> , MRSA via Sex of Sheep.	58
4.5	Clinical Presentations of Sheep Breeders and Sheep with Skin Lesions.	58
4.5.1 4.5.2	Clinical Presentations of Sheep Breeders with Skin Lesions. Clinical Presentations of Sheep with Skin Lesions.	62
4.6.1	<b>Risk Factors for Infection with</b> <i>S. aureus</i> <b>and MRSA among Sheep Breeders.</b>	66
4.6.2	<b>Risk Factors for Infection with </b> <i>S. aureus</i> <b> and MRSA among Sheep.</b>	83
4.7	Molecular Detection of Intercellular Adhesion Genes (IcaA and IcaD) and Beta Hemolysin Gene ( <i>Hib</i> ) in <i>S. aureus</i> and MRSA for Sheep Breeders and Sheep.	97
4.8	Molecular Detection for Methicillin Resistant Gene (mecA) and Beta- Lactamase Gene (blaZ) For <i>S. aureus</i> and MRSA Isolated from Sheep Breeders and Sheep.	100
4.9.1	Antibiotic Sensitivity Pattern for <i>S. aureus</i> and MRSA Isolated from Sheep Breeders.	102
4.9.2	Antibiotic Sensitivity Pattern for <i>S</i> . <i>aureus</i> and MRSA Isolated from Sheep.	103
5	Chapter five; Discussion	104
5.1	Demographic Characterization for Sheep Breeders and	104
J.I	Sheep	TAL
5.2.1	Isolation Rate of <i>S. aureus</i> and (MRSA) from Sheep Breeders.	105

5.2.2	Isolation Rate of S. aureus and (MRSA) from Sheep.	107
5.3	Correlation between Isolation Rate of S. aureus, MRSA	107
	and Age of Sheep Breeders and Sheep.	
5.4	Correlation between Isolation Rate of S. aureus, MRSA	109
	and Sex of Sheep Breeders and sheep	
5.5.1	Clinical Presentations of Sheep Breeders with Skin Lesions.	110
5.5.2	<b>Clinical Presentations of Sheep with Skin Lesions</b>	112
5.6	Risk Factors for Infection with S. aureus and MRSA	113
	among Sheep Breeders	
5.7	Risk Factors for Infection with S. aureus and MRSA	120
	among Sheep.	
5.8	Molecular Detection of Intercellular Adhesion Genes (IcaA	125
	and IcaD) and beta hemolysin gene (Hib) in S. aureus and	
	MRSA for Sheep Breeders and Sheep.	
5.9	Molecular Detection of Methicillin Resistant Gene in S.	126
	aureus (mecA) and beta lactamase gene (blaZ) in S. aureus	
	and MRSA for sheep breeders and sheep.	
5.10	Antibiotic Sensitivity Pattern for S. aureus and MRSA	128
	Isolated from Sheep Breeders and Sheep	
6	Chapter six; Conclusions & Recommendation	130
6.1	6.1. Conclusions	130
6.2	6.2. Recommendations	131
	References	132-
		166
	Appendices	167-
		172
	Abstract in Arabic	ا-ج
	Title in Arabic	

## **List of Tables**

table	Subject	Page
2.1.	Historical events of Staphylococcus aureus discoveries	5
3.1.	Chemical material and pure biological culture media	29
3.2.	Equipment utilized throughout the study	30
3.3.	Antibiotic discs utilized throughout the study	31
3.4.	Requirements for PCR	32
3.5.	Primers used in current study	32
3.6.	PCR Instruments used in current study	33
3.7.	Criteria for Antibiotic sensitivity of S. aureus	40
3.8.	Calculations of PCR	43
3.9.	Complete Calculation for PCR	43
3.10.	Complete Program for PCR	44
3.11.	Criteria use for detection of S. aureus	46
3.12.	Criteria use for detection of MRSA	46
4.1.	Demographic characterization for sheep breeders	49
4.2.	Demographic characterization for sheep	50
4.3.	Isolation rates of <i>S. aureus</i> and MERSA from sheep breeders	51
4.4.	Isolation rates of <i>S. aureus</i> and MERSA from sheep	52
4.5.	Correlation between Isolation rates of <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA and Age of sheep breeders	54

4.6.	Correlation between Age of sheep and Isolation rates of <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA.	55
4.7.	Isolation rates correlation of <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA via Sex of sheep breeder	56
4.8.	correlation between isolation rates of <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA via Sex of sheep	57
4.9.	Isolation of <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA from sheep breeder with atopic dermatitis.	58
4.10.	Isolation of <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA from sheep breeder with acne.	59
4.11.	Isolation of <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA from sheep breeder with open wound.	60
4.12.	Isolation of S. aureus, MERSA from sheep breeder with Paronychia.	61
4.13.	Isolation of <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA from sheep breeder with chronic skin disease.	62
4.14.	S. aureus, MERSA isolated from wounded sheep	63
4.15	Isolation of <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA from sheep with abscess.	64
4.16.	Isolation of <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA from sheep with dermatitis.	65
4.17.	Isolation of <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA from sheep with abrasions.	66
4.18.	Sex of sheep breeder as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA.	67
4.19.	Sheep breeder education level as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA.	68
4.20.	Economic status of sheep breeder as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA.	70
4.21.	Family member number of sheep breeder as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA.	71

4.22.	Family members with acne of sheep breeder as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA.	73
4.23.	Sheep skin lesion Contact as a risk factor for infection with S. aureus among sheep breeders.	74
4.24.	Contact skin lesion of sheep as a risk factor for infection with MRSA among sheep breeders.	75
4.25.	Last four weeks hospitalization as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA among sheep breeder.	77
4.26.	Visit to hospital admitted family member as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA among sheep breeder.	79
4.27.	Recent visit to hospital outpatient department as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA among sheep breeder.	81
4.28.	Contact with pets as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA among sheep breeder.	82
4.29.	Sex as a risk factor for infection with S. aureus, MERSA among sheep.	84
4.30.	Breed as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA among sheep.	85
4.31.	Flock size as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA among sheep.	86
4.32.	Season as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA among sheep.	87
4.33.	Introducing of new sheep to flock as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA among sheep.	89
4.34.	Farm hygiene as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA among sheep.	90
4.35.	Type of breeding system as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA among sheep.	92

4.36.	The wounds as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA among sheep.	93
4.37.	Abscess as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA among sheep.	94
4.38.	Dermatitis as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA among sheep.	95
4.39.	Abrasions as a risk factor for infection with <i>S. aureus</i> , MERSA among sheep.	96
4.40.	Molecular detection intercellular adhesion genes; ( <i>icaA</i> , <i>icaD</i> ) in <i>S. aureus</i> , isolated from Sheep breeders and Sheep	97
4.41.	Molecular detection for beta-hemolysin gene; ( <i>hib</i> ) in <i>S. aureus</i> , isolated from Sheep breeders and Sheep.	99
4.42.	Molecular detection for Methicillin Resistant Gene (mecA) in S. aureus Isolated from breeders and sheep	100
4.43.	Molecular detection for Beta- Lactamase Gene in <i>S. aureus</i> Isolated from breeders and sheep.	101
4.44.	Antibiotic Sensitivity Pattern for <i>S. aureus</i> And MRSA Isolated from Sheep Breeders	102
4.45	Antibiotic Sensitivity Pattern for <i>S. aureus</i> And MRSA Isolated from Sheep	103

## List of Figures

NO.	Subject	Page
2.1.	Impitego	20
2.2.	Folliculitis	20
2.3	Absces	21
	Diagram(1); study Design	34
3.1.	Positive growth of <i>S. aureus</i> on selective medium mannitol salt agar MSA(A), Control MSA(B).	47
3.2.	S. aureus Colony give positive Catalase reaction o	47
3.3.	Positive DNase reaction for <i>S. aureus</i> .	47
3.4.	Positive test for capsule formation by S. aureus.	48
4.1.	Amplification for staur primers 4, 6(1250 bp) by conventional PCR for <i>S. aureus</i> isolates recovered from skin lesions of breeders.	53
4.2.	Amplification for <i>mecA</i> gene (162 bp) by conventional PCR for <i>S. aureus</i> isolates recovered from skin lesions of sheep breeders.	53
4.3.	Amplification for <i>icaA</i> (188 bp) by conventional PCR for <i>S. aureus</i> isolates recovered from skin lesions of sheep breeders and sheep.	98
4.4.	Amplification for <i>icaD</i> (198 bp) by conventional PCR for <i>S. aureus</i> isolates recovered from skin lesions of sheep breeders and sheep.	98
4.5.	Amplification for <i>Hib</i> (241 bp) by conventional PCR for <i>S. aureus</i> isolates recovered from skin lesions of breeders and sheep.	99
4.6.	Amplification for <i>mecA</i> gene (162 bp) by conventional PCR for <i>S. aureus</i> isolates recovered from skin lesions of sheep breeders and sheep.	100
4.7.	Amplification for <i>blaZ</i> gene (577 bp) by conventional PCR for <i>S. aureus</i> isolates recovered from skin lesions of sheep breeders and sheep.	101

## List of Abbreviations

Abbreviate	TERM
Аар	Accretion-attendant protein
ABL	Antimicrobial blue light
Blaz- gene	beta lactamase gene
BHI	Braine Heart Infusion
BP	Base pair
CDC	Centers for Disease Control
Clf	Clamping factor
CLSI	Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute
DNA	De-oxy ribose Nucleic Acid
DNase	DNA hydrolytic enzyme
ECM	Extra Cellular Matrix
EE	Endogenous Endophthalmitis
EPS	Essential Parts S. aureus
ETs	Extra foliative Toxins
FAO	Food Agriculture Organization
FnbpA, B	Fibronectin-binding proteins A & B

Hib	Beta hemolysin gene
icaA	Inter cellular adhesion A gene
icaA	Inter cellular adhesion D gene
ICTV	International Council Taxonomic Virology
mecA	Mobile Element Complex type A
MIC	Minimum inhibitory concentrations
MDR	Multi drug resistance
MRSA	Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus
MSSA	Methicillin Sensitive Staphylococcus aureus
HA-	Hospital Acquired -Methicillin Resistant S. aureus
CA-	Community Acquired-Methicillin Resistant S. aureus
LA-	LivestockAssociated-MethicillinResistantStaphylococcus aureus
OIE	Office International des Epizootics (France) (WOAH) World organization for animal health (English)
PBP	Penicillin-binding protein
PDI	Photo Dynamic Inactivation therapy
PBS	Phosphate Buffered Saline

PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
PVL	Panton-Valentine Leukocidin
SCC mec	Staphylococcal chromosome cassette mec
SEs	Cito-Skeleton endotoxins
SSSS	Staphylococcal Scalded Skin Syndrome
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
TSST-1	Toxic Shock Syndrome Toxin-1
TDR	totally drug-resistant
TEM	Transmission Electron Microscope
UK	United Kingdom
UTI	Urinary Tract Infection
UV	Ultra Violet
WHO	World Health Organization

# CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCION

#### **1.1 Introduction**

The *Staphylococcus aureus* capable on improving and obtaining its own strategies and provide resistance to different antimicrobial drugs, which is further line among the extraordinary collection belong to this infectious bacteria, thus development of resistance via different bacterial strains due to mismanagement and/or severe multiple usage of treatments antibiotics (Woodford and Livermore 2009).

Since 1880 when Scottish surgeon Sir Alexander Ogston, obtained a groups of bacteria in pus of surgical wound abscess that infected with, microscopically examined, described and named it Staphylococcus due to its appearance, later in 1884, Rosenbach differentiated it through colony golden pigmentation (aureus) as a genus type (Taylor and Unakal 2021).

Among the 30s of last century, Sir Alexander Fleming starts penicillin era to fight germs and bacteria, supposed extracted from mold, which contained active antibacterial agent, as a beta lactam ring which is powerful inhibitor of cell-wall biosynthesis Kranjec *et al.*, (2021) that prevents multiplications and colonization, thus considered to be antibiotics not bactericidal agents.

Historically the earliest records, in 3000 BC, in China uses of neglected soya beans to treat infected wounds, also 1600BC, in Greek using mold worn out cheese, nearly period in Egypt, offered treating with "rotten barley bread". Healing usage of molds sustained without much attention of how the molds might be applying their effect (Page, 2012), that core of beta-lactam ring, starts to vanish by breaking down under the effects of Beta lactamase enzyme produced from new developing *Staphylococcus aureus* strains named MRSA noticed around 1960 as life threating extended phenomena, worldwide increasing yearly which causing changes in the roles of battle against pathogenic microbes (Pandey and Cascella 2019).

#### CHAPTER ONE [Introduction]

Emergence methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* MRSA have been reported in livestock were three different types three types depending on its transmissions through human population termed; Hospitals acquired, Community acquired and livestock associated (HA-MRSA), (CA-MRSA) and (LA-MRSA) respectively (Duraisamy *et al.*, 2020), those infections have gained importance due to limitation of treatment possibilities against highly zoonotic MRSA (Chuang and Huang 2015), cross-species infections between humans and animals were documented for certain strains of MRSA (Iddah and Pete 2021).

MRSA was registered in numerous locations of the planet as probable causes life threating, septicemia forming, bone and cardiac disorders in mankind, while wildlife might playing potential role to infect accompanier human particularly, emergence increasing occurrences of LA-MRSA in hospitals and aggressive infections in humans (Cheung *et al.*, 2021).

Authors reported another seriously virulent factors, although it conceder to be a microbiota harmless as a part of skin flora or nasopharyngeal inhabitation, related to skin diseases mostly due to its toxins, enzymes, external antigens and cell wall dipped in surrounded matrix called biofilm act as dangerous substance according to its adhering ability among different surfaces vital and non-vital for preserving and protecting through its metabolic activities, nutrition, defensing and structural dwelling allows to growth and division then colonizing, containing hemolysing, catalaseing, coagulaseing and clumping factors (Mistretta *et al.*, 2019).

Researchers recently were trying to offer a variety of options for alternative treatments as possible solutions by new recommended methods by using short length photo waves (Reynoso *et al.*, 2019), Nanoparticles techniques, (Malaekeh-Nikouei *et al.*, 2020), bacteria-phages killing viruses (Ovchinnikov *et al.*, 2020), combinations of antibiotics (Newstead *et al.*, 2020) to remove or prevent bacterial adhesion, avoid formation of biofilm and use alternative plant-

[2]

based treatments extracts (Mala *et al.*, 2021), to solve the emergence of MRSA and MDR issues (Kranjec *et al.*, 2021).

#### **1.2 Objective Aims of the Study**

The current study designed to fulfill the following Objective aims;

[1] Isolation and identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* from sheep breeders and sheep by conventional laboratory method & automated Vitek 2 system.

[2] Identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* specific 23s RNA gene sequence (staur4 and staur6) by conventional PCR.

[3] Detection of methicillin resistant gene (*mecA*), Beta- lactamase gene (*blaZ*), Beta-hemolysin genes (*hib*) biofilm producing genes Inter Cellular Adhesion (*ica*A& D), by conventional PCR

[4] Study of antimicrobial susceptibility pattern for Staphylococcus aureus.

[5] Evaluation of relationship between *Staphylococcus aureus* infection and possible risk factors in human and sheep.