



Republic of Iraq  
Ministry of Higher Education  
and Scientific Research  
University of Diyala  
College of Science  
Department of Physics



# Magnetodielectric Properties of Cobalt Ferrite-Silica Composites Prepared by a Sol-Gel Technique

*A Thesis*

*Submitted to the Council of the College of Science- University of Diyala in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Physics*

By

***Mohammed Burhan Jumaa***

B. Ed. in Physics (2017-2018)

Supervised By

*Prof. Dr.  
Tahseen H. Mubarak*

*Assist. Prof. Dr.  
Ali M. Mohammad*

**2021 AD**

**1443 AH**

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

﴿وَأَنْزَلْنَا الْحَدِيدَ فِيهِ بَأْسٌ شَدِيدٌ وَمَنَافِعُ لِلنَّاسِ

وَلِيَعْلَمَ اللَّهُ مَن يَنْصُرُهُ وَرُسُلَهُ بِالْغَيْبِ ۗ إِنَّ اللَّهَ

قَوِيٌّ عَزِيزٌ﴾

صدق الله العظيم

سورة الحديد

الاية ٢٥

## *Dedication*

*My M.Sc. is dedicated to...*

*My merciful parents.*

*My supporters brother.*

*Mohammed B. Jumaa*

*2021*

## Acknowledgement

First and foremost, I would like to thank Almighty Allah for giving me the strength, knowledge, ability and opportunity to undertake, persevere and complete this research. Without his blessings, this achievement would not have been possible.

There are many people I need to thank for their support and encouragement.

I would like to express my heartfelt thanks to my supervisors, ***Prof. Dr. Tahseen H. Mubarak*** and ***Assist. Prof. Dr. Ali M. Mohammad***, for their guidance, inspiration, and encouragement. I am very grateful for both their expertise and commitments throughout the course of my research.

I express my thanks to head of Physics Department ***Asst. Prof. Dr. Ammar A. Habeeb*** and all members, especially postgraduate faculty members and staffs of the college for their cooperation.

It certainly would have been very difficult completing this research without the support from ***my parents and my brother***. Their encouragements and supports inspired me to hold out to the end.

I express my deep gratitude to my best friend ***Saad Sh. Habeeb*** for his encouragement and cooperative.

I am also grateful to the Physics Department, College of Science at Sulaimani University for their kind help during the laboratory work and LCR meter tests also special thanks for the Education Physics Department in the University of Garmian for their kind help during the laboratory work.

I certainly cannot mention the names of everyone who contributed towards the success of this research, but as they have always known, I am very grateful.

*Mohammed B. Jumaa*

2021

## Supervisors Certification

We certify that this thesis entitled "*Magnetodielectric Properties of Cobalt Ferrite-Silica composites Prepared by a Sol-Gel Technique*" for the student (**Mohammed Burhan Jumaa**), was prepared under our supervisions at the Department of Physics, College of Science, Diyala University in part. One of the prerequisites for the awarding of the *M.Sc in Physics*.

Signature:

Name: ***Dr. Tahseen H. Mubarak***

Title: *Professor*

Address: College of Science

University of Diyala

Date:    /    / 2021

Signature:

Name: ***Dr. Ali M. Mohammad***

Title: *Assist. Professor*

Address: College of Education

University of Garmian

Date:    /    / 2021

## Head of the Physics Department

In view of available recommendation, I forward this thesis for debate by the examining committee.

Signature:

Name: ***Dr. Ammar A. Habeeb***

Title: *Assist. Professor*

Head of the Physics Department

Address: College of Science, University of Diyala

Date:    /    / 2021

## Linguistic Amendment

I certify that the thesis entitled "*Magnetodielectric Properties of Cobalt Ferrite-Silica Composites Prepared by a Sol-Gel Technique*" presented by student (**Mohammed Burhan Jumaa**) has been corrected linguistically, therefore, it is suitable for debate by examining committee.

Signature:

Name: *Dr. Karim H. Hassan*

Title: *Professor*

Address: *University of Diyala /College of Sciences*

Date:    /    / 2021

## Scientific Amendment

I certify that the thesis entitled "*Magnetodielectric Properties of Cobalt Ferrite-Silica Composites Prepared by a Sol-Gel Technique*" presented by student (**Mohammed Burhan Jumaa**) has been evaluated scientifically, therefore, it is suitable for debate by examining committee.

Signature:

Name: **Dr. Nadir F. Habubi**

Title: *Professor*

Address: *Al-Mustansiriyah University*

Date:    /    / 2021

## Scientific Amendment

I certify that the thesis entitled "*Magnetodielectric Properties of Cobalt Ferrite-Silica Composites Prepared by a Sol-Gel Technique*" presented by student (**Mohammed Burhan Jumaa**) has been evaluated scientifically, therefore, it is suitable for debate by examining committee.

Signature:

Name: *Dr. Shihab A. Zaidan*

Title: *Professor*

Address: *University of Technology*

Date:    /    / 2021



## Examination Committee Certificate

We certify that we have read this thesis entitled "***Magnetodielectric Properties of Cobalt Ferrite-Silica Composites Prepared by a Sol-Gel Technique***" and, as an examining committee, we examined the student (**Mohammed Burhan Jumaa**) on its content, and in what is related to it, and that in our opinion it meets the standard of a thesis for the degree of master in Physics Sciences.

Signature:

Name: ***Dr. Enas Muhi Hadi***

Title: *Professor*

Address: *University of Technology*

Date:     /     / 2021

(Chairman)

Signature:

Name: ***Dr. Widad Hano Abbas***

Title: *Assistant. Professor*

Address: *Al-Mustansiriyah University*

Date:     /     / 2021

(Member)

Signature:

Name: ***Zena Mohammed Ali Abbas***

Title: *Assistant. Professor*

Address: *University of Diyala*

Date:     /     / 2021

(Member)

Signature:

Name: ***Dr. Tahseen H. Mubarak***

Title: *Professor*

Address: *University of Diyala*

Date:     /     / 2021

(Member / Supervisors)

Signature:

Name: ***Dr. Ali Mustafa Mohammed***

Title: *Assistant. Professor*

Address: *University of Garmian*

Date:     /     / 2021

(Member / Supervisors)

Approved by the Council of the College of Science.

(The Dean)

Signature:

Name: ***Dr. Tahseen H. Mubarak***

Title: *Professor*

Date:     /     / 2021

## List of Contents

<i>No.</i>	<i>Subjects</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
	Contents	I
	List of Figures	VI
	List of Tables	XII
	List of Symbols	XV
	List of Abbreviations	XVII
	Abstract	XVIII

### *Chapter One*

#### *Concept of Nanoparticles and Literature Review*

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Literature Review	2
1.3	Aim of the Study	11

### *Chapter Two*

#### *Theoretical Part*

2.1	Introduction	12
2.2	Magnetic Properties of Materials	13
2.3	Types of Magnetic Materials	13
2.3.1	Diamagnetic Materials	14
2.3.2	Paramagnetic Materials	15
2.3.3	Ferromagnetic Materials	16
2.3.4	Antiferromagnetic Materials	17
2.3.5	Ferrimagnetic Materials	18
2.4	Composite Materials	19
2.5	Silicon	20
2.6	Ferrite Materials	21
2.6.1	Spinel Ferrite	21
2.6.1.1	Normal Spinel	22

2.6.1.2	Inverse Spinel	22
2.6.1.3	Random Spinel	22
2.6.2	Garnet Ferrite	22
2.6.3	Hexagonal Ferrite	23
2.7	Magnetization of Ferrite	24
2.7.1	Soft Ferrite	24
2.7.2	Hard Ferrite	24
2.8	Magnetic Domains	25
2.9	Hysteresis Loop and Magnetic Parameters	26
2.10	Vibrating Sample Magnetometer	28
2.11	Chemical Composition of Spinel Ferrite	29
2.12	Crystal Structure of Spinel Ferrite	29
2.13	Cobalt Ferrite ( $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$ )	30
2.14	Magnetic and Electric Properties of Cobalt Ferrite	31
2.15	Dielectric Materials	32
2.16	Polarization	33
2.16.1	Electronic Polarization	33
2.16.2	Ionic Polarization	34
2.16.3	Orientation Polarization	34
2.16.4	Space-charge Polarization	34
2.17	Dielectric Characterization and Parameters	35
2.18	Electrical conductivity	37
2.19	X-ray Diffraction (XRD)	38
2.20	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR)	40
2.21	Field Emission-Scanning Electron Microscopy (FE-SEM)	41

**Chapter three**  
**Experimental Part**

3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	Materials Used in Preparing Specimens	43
3.3	Instruments Used	43
3.4	Preparation Method	44
3.5	Calcinations and Pellet Formation	44
3.6	Structural Characterization	46
3.6.1	XRD Measurements	46
3.6.2	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR)	47
3.6.3	Scanning Electron Microscope Measurement (FE-SEM)	47
3.6.3.1	Energy-Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDS)	48
3.7	Magnetic Characterizing	48
3.7.1	Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM)	48
3.8	The Electrical Properties Measurement	49

**Chapter Four**  
**Result and Discussion**

4.1	Introduction	51
4.2	Co <sub>x</sub> Fe <sub>3-x</sub> O <sub>4</sub> Nanoparticles Characterization	51
4.2.1	Structural Properties	51
4.2.1.1	X-ray Diffraction Studies	51
4.2.1.1.1	Crystallite Size ( <i>D</i> )	54
4.2.1.1.2	Lattice Parameter ' <i>a</i> '	54
4.2.1.1.3	X-Ray Density ( $\rho_x$ )	55
4.2.1.1.4	Hopping Length ( <i>L</i> )	55
4.2.1.2	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR)	58

4.2.1.3	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FE-SEM)	63
4.2.1.3.1	Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS)	69
4.2.2	Magnetic Properties	72
4.2.2.1	Saturation Magnetization	72
4.2.2.2	Remanent Magnetization	74
4.2.2.3	Coercivity	74
4.2.3	Electrical Properties	80
4.2.3.1	Dielectric Constant	81
4.2.3.2	Dielectric Loss Factor ( $\epsilon''$ )	84
4.2.3.3	Dielectric Loss Angle ( $\tan\delta$ )	87
4.2.3.4	AC Conductivity ( $\sigma_{ac}$ )	91
4.5	CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles Characterization	100
4.5.1	Structural Properties	100
4.5.1.1	X-ray Diffraction Studies	100
4.5.1.1.1	Crystallite Size ( $D$ )	101
4.5.1.1.2	Lattice Parameter ' $a$ '	101
4.5.1.1.3	X-Ray Density ( $\rho_x$ )	102
4.5.1.1.4	Hopping Length ( $L$ )	102
4.5.1.2	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR)	103
4.5.1.3	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FE-SEM)	105
4.5.1.3.1	Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS)	107
4.5.2	Magnetic Properties	108
4.5.2.1	Saturation Magnetization	108
4.5.2.2	Remanent Magnetization	108
4.5.2.3	Coercivity	109

4.5.3	Electrical Properties	111
4.5.3.1	Dielectric Constant	111
4.5.3.2	Dielectric Losses	112
4.5.3.3	AC Conductivity ( $\sigma_{ac}$ )	115

***Chapter Five***

***Conclusion and Suggestions for Future Work***

5.1	Conclusions	118
5.2	Suggestions for Future Work	121
References		122

## List of Figures

<i>No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
2.1	Atomic dipole configuration with and without an external magnetic field for a diamagnetic materials.	15
2.2	Atomic dipole configuration with and without an external magnetic field for a paramagnetic materials.	16
2.3	Mutual alignment of atomic dipoles in a ferromagnetic material, which exist even when no external field exists.	17
2.4	Antiparallel alignment of spin magnetic moments for antiferromagnetic materials.	18
2.5	The spin magnetic moment configuration of Fe <sup>2+</sup> and Fe <sup>3+</sup> ions in Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (Ferrimagnetism).	19
2.6	The structure of silicon dioxide.	21
2.7	Unit cell of yttrium iron garnet.	23
2.8	Structure of barium hexaferrite.	24
2.9	Comparison of hysteresis loops for: (a) hard ferrites; (b) soft ferrites.	25
2.10	Schematic illustration of a ferromagnetic particle's interior structure, with Bloch barriers between Weiss domains.	26
2.11	Hysteresis loop in magnetic materials.	26
2.12	VSM schematic.	29
2.13	Structure of cubic spinel ferrite.	30
2.14	The ferrimagnetic order of a partial spinel ferrite unit cell.	32
2.15	Schematic diagram of (a) Electronic polarization, (b) Ionic polarization, (c) Orientation polarization, (d) Space-charge polarization.	35
2.16	Schematic of an X-ray diffractometer.	38
2.17	Constructive interference from the parallel planes.	39

2.18	A schematic diagram of FT-IR spectrophotometer.	41
2.19	Schematic diagram of a field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM).	42
3.1	Flowchart of sol-gel auto combustion synthesis of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites and $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{SiO}_2$ nanocomposites.	45
3.2	Photograph of X-ray diffraction instrument.	46
3.3	Photograph of fourier transform infrared spectroscopy.	47
3.4	Photograph of field emission scanning electron microscopy instrument.	48
3.5	Photograph of vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) instrument.	49
3.6	Photograph of LCR meter instrument.	50
4.1	XRD patterns of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$ nanoparticles ( $x=0.8$ ) for as-burnt and calcined at 500, 600 and 700 °C.	52
4.2	XRD patterns of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$ nanoparticles ( $x=0.9$ ) for as-burnt and calcined at 500, 600 and 700 °C.	52
4.3	XRD patterns of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$ nanoparticles ( $x=1$ ) for as-burnt and calcined at 500, 600 and 700 °C.	53
4.4	XRD patterns of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$ nanoparticles ( $x=1.1$ ) for as-burnt and calcined at 500, 600 and 700 °C.	53
4.5	XRD patterns of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$ nanoparticles ( $x=1.2$ ) for as-burnt and calcined at 500, 600 and 700 °C.	54
4.6	FTIR spectra of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$ ( $x= 0.8$ ) for as-burnt and calcined at 500, 600 and 700 °C.	59
4.7	FTIR spectra of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$ ( $x= 0.9$ ) for as-burnt and calcined at 500, 600 and 700 °C.	60
4.8	FTIR spectra of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$ ( $x= 1$ ) for as-burnt and calcined at 500, 600 and 700 °C.	60



4.9	FTIR spectra of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$ ( $x= 1.1$ ) for as-burnt and calcined at 500, 600 and 700 °C.	61
4.10	FTIR spectra of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$ ( $x= 1.2$ ) for as-burnt and calcined at 500, 600 and 700 °C.	61
4.11	FE-SEM micrographs of $\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{2.2}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for (a) as-burnt (b) calcined specimen at 500 °C (c) at 600 °C and (d) at 700 °C.	65
4.12	FE-SEM micrographs of $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{2.1}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for (a) as-burnt (b) calcined specimen at 500 °C (c) at 600 °C and (d) at 700 °C.	65
4.13	FE-SEM micrographs of $\text{Co}_1\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for (a) as-burnt (b) calcined specimen at 500 °C (c) at 600 °C and (d) at 700 °C.	66
4.14	FE-SEM micrographs of $\text{Co}_{1.1}\text{Fe}_{1.9}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for (a) as-burnt (b) calcined specimen at 500 °C (c) at 600 °C and (d) at 700 °C.	66
4.15	FE-SEM micrographs of $\text{Co}_{1.2}\text{Fe}_{1.8}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for (a) as-burnt (b) calcined specimen at 500 °C (c) at 600 °C and (d) at 700 °C.	67
4.16	EDS spectra of $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{2.1}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for (a) as-burnt (b) calcined specimen at 500 °C (c) at 600 °C and (d) at 700 °C.	70
4.17	EDS spectra of $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{2.1}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for (a) as-burnt (b) calcined specimen at 500 °C (c) at 600 °C and (d) at 700 °C.	70
4.18	EDS spectra of $\text{Co}_1\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for (a) as-burnt (b) calcined specimen at 500°C (c) at 600 °C and (d) at 700 °C.	71
4.19	EDS spectra of $\text{Co}_{1.1}\text{Fe}_{1.9}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for (a) as-burnt (b) calcined specimen at 500 °C (c) at 600 °C and (d) at 700 °C.	71

4.20	EDS spectra of $\text{Co}_{1.2}\text{Fe}_{1.8}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for (a) as-burnt (b) calcined specimen at 500 °C (c) at 600 °C and (d) at 700 °C.	72
4.21	Hysteresis curves of $\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{2.2}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for as-burnt and different calcination temperatures.	76
4.22	Hysteresis curves of $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{2.1}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for as-burnt and different calcination temperatures.	76
4.23	Hysteresis curves of $\text{Co}_1\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for as-burnt and different calcination temperatures.	77
4.24	Hysteresis curves of $\text{Co}_{1.1}\text{Fe}_{1.9}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for as-burnt and different calcination temperatures.	77
4.25	Hysteresis curves of $\text{Co}_{1.2}\text{Fe}_{1.8}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for as-burnt and different calcination temperatures.	78
4.26	Variation of dielectric constant ( $\epsilon'$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{2.2}\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	82
4.27	Variation of dielectric constant ( $\epsilon'$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{2.1}\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	82
4.28	Variation of dielectric constant ( $\epsilon'$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_1\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	83
4.29	Variation of dielectric constant ( $\epsilon'$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_{1.1}\text{Fe}_{1.9}\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	83
4.30	Variation of dielectric constant ( $\epsilon'$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_{1.2}\text{Fe}_{1.8}\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	84
4.31	Variation of dielectric loss factor ( $\epsilon''$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{2.2}\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	85
4.32	Variation of dielectric loss factor ( $\epsilon''$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{2.1}\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	85
4.33	Variation of dielectric loss factor ( $\epsilon''$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_1\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	86

4.34	Variation of dielectric loss factor ( $\epsilon''$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_{1.1}\text{Fe}_{1.9}\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	86
4.35	Variation of dielectric loss factor ( $\epsilon''$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_{1.2}\text{Fe}_{1.8}\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	87
4.36	Variation of dielectric loss angle ( $\tan \delta$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{2.2}\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	88
4.37	Variation of dielectric loss angle ( $\tan \delta$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{2.1}\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	89
4.38	Variation of dielectric loss angle ( $\tan \delta$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_1\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	89
4.39	Variation of dielectric loss angle ( $\tan \delta$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_{1.1}\text{Fe}_{1.9}\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	90
4.40	Variation of dielectric loss angle ( $\tan \delta$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_{1.2}\text{Fe}_{1.8}\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	90
4.41	Variation of ac conductivity ( $\sigma_{ac}$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{2.2}\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	91
4.42	Variation of ac conductivity ( $\sigma_{ac}$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{2.1}\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	92
4.43	Variation of ac conductivity ( $\sigma_{ac}$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_1\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	92
4.44	Variation of ac conductivity ( $\sigma_{ac}$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_{1.1}\text{Fe}_{1.9}\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	93
4.45	Variation of ac conductivity ( $\sigma_{ac}$ ) with frequency for $\text{Co}_{1.2}\text{Fe}_{1.8}\text{O}_4$ spinel nanoparticles.	93
4.46	XRD pattern of uncomposited $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$ nanoparticles, and nanocomposite $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{SiO}_2$ in ratio (35%, 40%, 45, and 50%).	101

4.47	FTIR spectra of CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> nanocomposite specimens with different SiO <sub>2</sub> weight percentage.	104
4.48	FE-SEM images of CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> nanocomposites calcined at 600 °C for; (0%, 35%, 40%, 45% and 50%).	106
4.49	EDS spectra of CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> nanocomposites for; (0%, 35%, 40%, 45% and 50%)	107
4.50	Hysteresis loops of CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> nanocomposites with (0%, 35%, 40%, 45% and 50%) calcined at 600 °C.	110
4.51	Variation of dielectric constant ( $\epsilon'$ ) as a function of frequency of CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> nanocomposite specimens with (0%, 35%, 40%, 45% and 50%).	111
4.52	Variation of dielectric loss angle ( $\tan \delta$ ) as a function of frequency of CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> nanocomposite specimen with (0%, 35%, 40%, 45% and 50%).	113
4.53	Variation of dielectric loss factor ( $\epsilon''$ ) as a function of frequency of CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> nanocomposite specimen with (0%, 35%, 40%, 45% and 50%).	114
4.54	Variation of ac conductivity ( $\sigma_{ac}$ ) as a function of frequency of CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> nanocomposite specimen with (0%, 35%, 40%, 45% and 50%).	115

## List of Tables

<i>No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
2.1	Types of magnetism.	14
3.1	Materials used in preparing specimen with percentage purity.	43
4.1	Values of crystallite size ( $D$ ), Lattice parameter ' $a$ ', X-ray density ( $\rho_x$ ), hopping length ( $L_A$ ) and ( $L_B$ ) for $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$ nanoparticles with ( $x=0.8-1.2$ ).	57
4.2	FT-IR spectral bands for $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$ nanoparticles ( $x=0.8, 0.9, 1, 1.1$ and $1.2$ ) for as-burnt and calcined at $500, 600$ and $700^\circ\text{C}$ .	62
4.3	Average crystallite size and particle size of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites ( $x = 0.8, 0.9, 1, 1.1, \text{ and } 1.2$ ) for (a) as-burnt (b) calcined specimen at $500^\circ\text{C}$ (c) calcined at $600^\circ\text{C}$ and (d) calcined specimen at $700^\circ\text{C}$ determined from XRD and FE-SEM.	68
4.4	Variation in saturation magnetization ( $M_s$ ), remanence magnetization ( $M_r$ ) coercivity ( $H_c$ ) magnetic moment ( $n_B$ ), squareness ratio ( $M_r/M_s$ ), and magnetic anisotropy ( $K$ ) of $\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{2.2}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for different calcination temperature.	78
4.5	Variation in saturation magnetization ( $M_s$ ), remanence magnetization ( $M_r$ ) coercivity ( $H_c$ ) magnetic moment ( $n_B$ ), squareness ratio ( $M_r/M_s$ ), and magnetic anisotropy ( $K$ ) of $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{2.1}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for different calcination temperature.	79
4.6	Variation in saturation magnetization ( $M_s$ ), remanence magnetization ( $M_r$ ) coercivity ( $H_c$ ) magnetic moment	79

	$(n_B)$ , squareness ratio $(M_r/M_s)$ , and magnetic anisotropy $(K)$ of $\text{Co}_1\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for different calcination temperature.	
4.7	Variation in saturation magnetization $(M_s)$ , remanence magnetization $(M_r)$ coercivity $(H_c)$ magnetic moment $(n_B)$ , squareness ratio $(M_r/M_s)$ , and magnetic anisotropy $(K)$ of $\text{Co}_{1.1}\text{Fe}_{1.9}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for different calcination temperature.	79
4.8	Variation in saturation magnetization $(M_s)$ , remanence magnetization $(M_r)$ coercivity $(H_c)$ magnetic moment $(n_B)$ , squareness ratio $(M_r/M_s)$ , and magnetic anisotropy $(K)$ of $\text{Co}_{1.2}\text{Fe}_{1.8}\text{O}_4$ nanoferrites for different calcination temperature.	80
4.9	Values of dielectric constant $(\epsilon')$ , dielectric loss angle $(\tan \delta)$ , dielectric loss factor $(\epsilon'')$ and ac conductivity $(\sigma_{ac})$ at 200 KHz, 500 KHz, 1 MHz, 1.5 MHz and 2 MHz for $\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{2.2}\text{O}_4$ sintered at 350 °C, 600 °C, 700 °C, and 800 °C.	95
4.10	Values of dielectric constant $(\epsilon')$ , dielectric loss angle $(\tan \delta)$ , dielectric loss factor $(\epsilon'')$ and ac conductivity $(\sigma_{ac})$ at 200 KHz, 500 KHz, 1 MHz, 1.5 MHz and 2 MHz for $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{2.1}\text{O}_4$ sintered at 350 °C, 600 °C, 700 °C, and 800 °C.	96
4.11	Values of dielectric constant $(\epsilon')$ , dielectric loss angle $(\tan \delta)$ , dielectric loss factor $(\epsilon'')$ and ac conductivity $(\sigma_{ac})$ at 200 KHz, 500 KHz, 1 MHz, 1.5 MHz and 2 MHz for $\text{Co}_1\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ sintered at 350 °C, 600 °C, 700 °C, and 800 °C.	97

4.12	Values of dielectric constant ( $\epsilon'$ ), dielectric loss angle ( $\tan \delta$ ), dielectric loss factor ( $\epsilon''$ ) and ac conductivity ( $\sigma_{ac}$ ) at 200 KHz, 500 KHz, 1 MHz, 1.5 MHz and 2 MHz for $\text{Co}_{1.1}\text{Fe}_{1.9}\text{O}_4$ sintered at 350 °C, 600 °C, 700 °C, and 800 °C.	98
4.13	Values of dielectric constant ( $\epsilon'$ ), dielectric loss angle ( $\tan \delta$ ), dielectric loss factor ( $\epsilon''$ ) and ac conductivity ( $\sigma_{ac}$ ) at 200 KHz, 500 KHz, 1 MHz, 1.5 MHz and 2 MHz for $\text{Co}_{1.2}\text{Fe}_{1.8}\text{O}_4$ sintered at 350 °C, 600 °C, 700 °C, and 800 °C.	99
4.14	Values of crystallite size ( $D$ ), Lattice parameter ' $a$ ', X-ray density ( $\rho_x$ ), hopping length ( $L_A$ ) and ( $L_B$ ) for $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{SiO}_2$ nanocomposites.	103
4.15	FT-IR spectral bands for $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{SiO}_2$ nanocomposite specimens with different $\text{SiO}_2$ weight.	104
4.16	Average particle sizes of $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{SiO}_2$ nanocomposites calcined at 600 °C with (0%, 35%, 40%, 45%, and 50%) calculated from XRD and FE-SEM.	106
4.17	Variation in saturation magnetization ( $M_s$ ), remanence magnetization ( $M_r$ ), coercivity ( $H_c$ ), magnetic moment ( $n_B$ ), squareness ratio ( $M_r/M_s$ ), and magnetic anisotropy ( $K$ ) for $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{SiO}_2$ nanocomposites.	110
4.18	Values of dielectric constant ( $\epsilon'$ ), dielectric loss angle ( $\tan \delta$ ), dielectric loss factor ( $\epsilon''$ ) and ac conductivity ( $\sigma_{ac}$ ) at 200 KHz, 500 KHz, 1 MHz, 1.5 MHz and 2 MHz for $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{SiO}_2$ nanocomposites.	117

## List of Symbols

<i>Symbol</i>	<i>Definition</i>
$\theta$	Bragg's angle
$\lambda$	Wavelength
$M$	Magnetization
$H$	Magnetic field
$M_S$	Saturation magnetization
$H_c$	Coercivity
$M_r$	Remanence magnetization
$n_B$	Magnetic moment
$K$	Magnetic anisotropy
$T$	Temperature
'a'	Lattice parameters
$\epsilon'$	Dielectric constant
$\epsilon''$	Dielectric loss factor
$\tan \delta$	Dielectric loss angle
$\sigma_{ac}$	ac conductivity
$T_c$	Curie temperature
$T_N$	Neel temperature,
$\mu_r$	Relative permeability
$\mu_o$	Vacuum permeability
$\mu$	Permeability of specific medium
$\chi$	Susceptibility
$\chi_m$	Magnetic susceptibility
$C$	Curie constant.
$P$	Polarization
$C$	Capacitance



$C_o$	Capacitance of air
$f$	Frequency
$d$	Spacing between the atomic planes
$D$	Crystallite size
$hkl$	Miller indices
$L$	Hopping length
$\rho_x$	X-ray density
$M$	Molecular weight
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	Degrees celsius
$P_e$	Electric polarization
$P_i$	Ionic polarization
$P_o$	Orientation polarization
$P_S$	Space-charge polarization
$D_c$	Critical diameter
$\alpha$	Polarizability
$E_a$	Activation energy

## List of Abbreviations

<i>Abbreviations</i>	<i>Definition</i>
NPs	Nanoparticles
NCs	Nanocomposites
XRD	X-ray diffraction
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
FT-IR	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
FE-SEM	Field emission-scanning electron microscopes
VSM	Vibrating sample magnetometer
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
FWHM	Full width at half-maximum
ICSD	Inorganic crystal structure database
PXRD	Powder X-ray diffraction
GMR	Giant magnetoresistance

## **Abstract**

The aim of the thesis is to synthesize and study the structural, magnetic and electrical properties of cobalt ferrites nanoparticles with the stoichiometric formula  $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$  ( $x= 0.8, 0.9, 1, 1.1, \text{ and } 1.2$ ) respectively, were prepared using the sol-gel auto-combustion process. After combustion, the as-burnt powders were calcined at 500, 600, and 700 °C for 3 hrs to increase homogeneity and remove organic waste, where the as-burnt specimens and the specimens that calcined at 500, 600, and 700 °C added with a four-drop PVA as a binder to press it into circular pellets of diameter 13 mm with thickness about 2 mm. The prepared pellets were sintered at 350, 600, 700, and 800 °C for 3 hrs to intensify of the specimens and, slowly allowed to be cooled naturally to examine the dielectric properties. Another purpose of this work is to blend and study the structural, magnetic and electrical properties of cobalt ferrite-silica nanocomposites at 600 °C using the formula  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{SiO}_2$  in different ratios (35%, 40%, 45%, and 50%) respectively, which were prepared using the conventional ceramic method. The specimens, that calcined at 600 °C, added with a four-drop PVA as a binder to press it into circular pellets of diameter 13 mm with thickness about 2 mm. The prepared pellets were sintered at 700 °C for 3 hrs to intensify of the specimens and slowly allowed to be cooled naturally to examine the dielectric properties.

The XRD diffraction analysis for  $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$  nanoferrites showed all the major peaks corresponding to the single spinel structure. The size of the formed crystallite of ferrite specimens increases with increasing temperature calcination and cobalt content. On the other hand, the XRD patterns of  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{SiO}_2$  nanocomposites revealed all of the major peaks corresponding to the spinel structure's single phase. Based on its amorphous nature, there are no signatures in XRD for  $\text{SiO}_2$ . The formation of a cubic spinel structure is revealed by fourier transform infrared (FT-IR)

spectra, which uncovered two main absorption bands in the 600-400  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  range. In  $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$  nanoferrites a similar pattern of shifting peaks for the bands  $\nu_1$  towards the higher frequencies side whereas a similar pattern of shifting of the peaks for the bands  $\nu_2$  is observed towards the lower frequencies side have observed with the increasing of the calcined temperature and cobalt content. The FT-IR spectra, on the other hand, showed major absorption bands at 468.702, 800.459 and 1103.28  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , indicating the formation of amorphous  $\text{SiO}_2$ . In  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{SiO}_2$  nanocomposites a similar trend in shifting of the (Fe-O) ( $\nu_1$ ) and (Co-O) ( $\nu_2$ ) bands towards the lower frequencies have noticed with the increase mixing  $\text{SiO}_2$ . According to images taken with a Field Emission-Scanning Electron Microscope of cobalt ferrite nanoparticles  $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$ , the particle size increases as the calcination temperature and cobalt content rises (FE-SEM) and shows agglomerated with homogenous spherical and polyhedral particles. The presence of Co, Fe, and O in all specimens is confirmed using the Energy Dispersive Spectrum (EDS). On the other hand, according to images taken with a Field Emission-Scanning Electron Microscope of cobalt ferrite-silica nanocomposites  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{SiO}_2$ , the grain size increases with the increase in the mixing ratio of silica and shows that all specimens contain a compact order of homogeneous nanoparticles with a spherical form and polyhedral particles. The presence of Co, Fe, O, and Si in all specimens is proved using the Energy Dispersive Spectrum (EDS). At room temperature, the magnetic characteristics are measured with a VSM in an applied field of  $\pm 15$  kOe ranges. The saturation magnetization ( $M_s$ ), remanence magnetization ( $M_r$ ), and magnetic moment ( $n_B$ ) of  $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$  nanoferrites are found to go up with increasing calcination temperature. This behavior is linked to spin canting and disturbance in the surface spin. When  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  content increases, also the saturation magnetion and magnetic moment at calcined temperatures (600

and 700 °C) increases except for  $x=1.2$ . Whereas the remnant magnetization decreases with increasing content  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  of as-burnt and calcined specimens. In another term, the saturation magnetization ( $M_s$ ), remanence magnetization ( $M_r$ ) and magnetic moment ( $n_B$ ) of  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{SiO}_2$  nanocomposites decrease with the increase of the  $\text{SiO}_2$  mixing ratio. The dielectric properties are measured using a (LCR) meter in the frequency range of (50Hz-2MHz) at room temperature. The dielectric constant ( $\epsilon'$ ), dielectric loss angle ( $\tan\delta$ ) and dielectric loss factor ( $\epsilon''$ ) for  $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$  nanoferrites and  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{SiO}_2$  nanocomposites are found to decrease with increasing frequency. This behavior is typical of ferrites as explained by Koop's theory. The dielectric constant was found to decrease with increasing temperature and increases with increasing of the  $\text{SiO}_2$  mixing ratio. It was also found that the dielectric loss angle and dielectric loss factor increases with increasing temperature and decreases with an increasing of the  $\text{SiO}_2$  mixing ratio. The ac conductivity ( $\sigma_{ac}$ ), gradually increased as the frequency increased, it was also found that the ac conductivity ( $\sigma_{ac}$ ) increases with increasing temperature and decreases with an increasing of the  $\text{SiO}_2$  mixing ratio.

## ***Chapter One***

### ***Concept of Nanoparticles and Literature***

#### ***Review***

## **1.1 Introduction**

Researchers are constantly working on new materials that could be used in a variety of industries. Wood, fabric, glass, alloys, metals, ceramics, petroleum fuels, radioactive materials, coal, polymers, stone, semiconductors, and other materials have ushered in significant advances in humanity's history. The investigation of innovative materials with superior properties, on the other hand, stretches back to the Stone Age. The study of material synthesis and properties has only recently emerged as a distinct field of science with technical and practical ramifications.

In the domains of physics and other sciences, nanotechnology is one of the most important and intriguing technologies. It has made a significant contribution to the events of great scientific revolutions that are hoped to change the course of technologies and applied sciences, as it provides a high ability to settings and control in the composition of matter at the level of atomic dimensions, as well as a high potential in nanofabrication, resulting in amazing physical qualities and properties. Because of this, nanotechnology has been used to create systems and devices with unique features by manipulating the form and size of the nanosphere [1]. Due to the enormous ratio of the surface of the grains to their size, magnetic materials in general, and nanoferrite in particular, have a significant impact on physical, electrical, and magnetic properties. Due to its magnetic properties and a wide range of uses, nanoferrite has sparked interest in the sphere of science and technology in recent years [2]. High frequency transformer cores, antenna bars, and choke coils are all made of ferrites [3, 4]. Nanoelectronic devices, integrated circuits, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are all examples of this [5-8]. The typical formula for ferrites is  $(MFe_2O_4)$ , where represents M, one of the divalent metallic elements ( $Zn^{+2}, Cu^{+2}, Fe^{+2}, Mg^{+2}$ ). The ferrites are divided into three groups based on their chemical composition: Garnet, Hexagonal and Spinal ferrite [9]. Because of its strong electrical and magnetic properties and wide range of uses, we will concentrate our research on this last type. Spinal ferrites are materials with good magnetic and electrical properties that are highly influenced by the distribution pattern of positive ions (cations) between the tetrahedral and octahedral sites [10]. One of the most important methods of preparing nanoferrite is the sol-gel method auto-combustion since it is simple to prepare, takes little time, and does not require high temperatures [11]. Ferrite is made from a powder that is compressed and sintered to take the desired shape. It is one of the simplest and cheapest materials to make, and its properties are determined by a number of factors, including the

shape and size of the grains, the method of preparation, the sintering temperature, the type of materials that make up ferrites, and their quantity [12].

## 1.2 Literature Review

The study of nanospinel ferrite has attracted researcher's interest in recent years owing to its unique features and vast range of uses. Some of the properties of nanospinel ferrites that have been studied, including structural, electrical, and magnetic properties, are reviewed below:

**N. M. Deraz and A. Aarifi, (2011)** [13]; They used sol-gel auto-combustion to make nanocrystalline Zn-substituted cobalt ferrite powders,  $\text{Co}_{1-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  ( $x = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, \text{ and } 1$ ). The specimens had a cubic spinel structure, and the X-ray diffraction investigation revealed that the crystallite size decreased from 70 to 51nm as the zinc content was increased to ( $x=1$ ). The lattice constant increased from (0.8370 to 0.8400 nm) with increasing the concentration of zinc to ( $x=1$ ), whilst the X-ray density increased from (5.293 to 5.381  $\text{g/cm}^3$ ) as the concentration of zinc increased to ( $x=1$ ). The saturation magnetization of Co-Zn nanoferrites was examined using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) at room temperature, and the results showed that the saturation magnetization increased as zinc substitution increased. Increased Zn concentrations resulted in a drop in coercivity ( $H_c$ ) from 807.7 to 46.0 Oe.

**K. M. Batoor and M. S. Ansari, (2012)** [14]; They used an auto-combustion approach to make nanoparticles of polycrystalline  $\text{Ni}_{0.7-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Cu}_{0.3}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  ( $x = 0, 0.05, \text{ and } 0.2$ ) ferrites in the form of a powder, with average crystallite sizes ranging from 28 to 32 nm. The cubic spinel structure of ferrites was revealed by X-ray diffraction study of powder specimens sintered at 600 °C for 4 hrs. Two absorption bands in the range of (600-400  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) were observed using fourier transform infrared (FT-IR), which are related to the stretching vibration of tetrahedral and octahedral sites. Then they took electrical measurements and discovered that when doping with zinc 10%, each of ( $\epsilon'$ ,  $\epsilon''$ ,  $\tan\delta$ ,  $\sigma_{ac}$ ) reaches its maximum value.



**M. Zhang et al., (2013)** [15]; They used the sol-gel method to prepare (9) specimens of the chemical  $\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ . After completing an X-ray diffraction investigation, it was discovered that all specimens of the produced ferrite compound formed the spinel phase, with average crystallite sizes ranging from 9 to 96 nm, if the average crystallite size increasing with the increase in the annealing temperature. When the annealing temperature is raised, the lattice constant decreases. The results of the magnetic measurements performed on the specimens of the synthesized chemical revealed that all of the specimens were paramagnetic. It was also discovered that when particle size rises, saturation magnetization increases, which can be explained by cation redistribution on tetrahedral A and octahedral B sites, as well as domain wall motion.

**M. Mozaffari et al., (2014)** [16]; They used the sol-gel method to make nanoparticles of nickel substituted cobalt ferrite  $\text{Ni}_x\text{Co}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  ( $x=0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, \text{ and } 0.9$ ). The crystallite size of the specimens was estimated to be around 30 nm using X-ray diffraction. The mean particle sizes in the SEM pictures were in the range of 70-160 nm, indicating that each particle contains many crystallites. As the nickel concentration was increased, the lattice parameter of the specimens decreased from 8.350 to 8.300 Å. Magnetic measurements were performed on the specimens, and the results demonstrate that as nickel content increases, saturation magnetization drops from 70.8-37.3 emu/g. With increasing nickel concentration, the materials coercivity reduces from (1188 to 321 Oe), as evidenced by variations in magneto crystalline anisotropy.

**A. V. Raut et al., (2014)** [17]; Utilizing citric acid as a fuel,  $\text{Co}_{1-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  ( $0.0 \leq x \leq 1.0$ ) was synthesized using the sol-gel auto-combustion approach. X-ray diffraction studies revealed the production of a single phase cubic spinel structure, with the lattice constant and X-ray density increasing as the  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  concentration increased within the expected range. The development of nanocrystalline grains was revealed by SEM examination. The specimens ferrite composition was revealed in the FT-IR spectra, which showed two prominent bands between  $400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . With increasing  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  concentration, different magnetic characteristics such as saturation magnetization, remanence magnetization, coercivity, and squareness ratio decrease. In the nanoparticles investigated, a decreasing squareness ratio indicated the presence of a single unreactive field particle with cubic contrast.

**M. Lakshmi et al., (2015)** [18]; They used the sol-gel method to make nanoparticles of Cr-substituted Zn ferrites with the chemical formula  $\text{Cr}_x\text{Zn}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  ( $x= 0.0-0.5$ ). And its average grain size is between 43 and 63 nanometers, They then looked at the structural and magnetic properties after calcining at 900 °C for 3 hrs, because they discovered that as Cr (x) cont increases, both crystallite size and lattice parameter decrease. And the amount of chromium has an active effect on the magnetization current, as they discovered that the magnetization current is highest at ( $x= 0$ ), drops dramatically at ( $x= 0.1$ ), and then progressively rises at subsequent values of x. Infrared microscopy confirms the formation of spinel structure. The creation of a single-phase spinel structure with cubic symmetry was confirmed by X-ray diffraction study of Cr-Zn ferrite. Nanoparticles with a restricted size distribution were seen in SEM micrographs.

**R. S. Yadav et al., (2015)** [19]; They used a starch-assisted sol-gel auto-combustion approach to make  $\text{Co}_{1-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  ( $x= 0.0$  and  $0.5$ ) spinel ferrite nanoparticles at 800 °C. The development of the ferrite phase was confirmed using fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy. The XRD examination revealed that  $\text{Co}_{1-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  ( $x= 0.0$  and  $0.5$ ) spinel ferrite nanoparticles develop in a single phase. The generation of nanosized spherical particles with spherical morphology was revealed by FE-SEM analysis. The decrease in nanocrystalline size and cation distribution in spinel ferrite explain the observed shift in saturation magnetization and coercivity.

**T. Dippong et al., (2016)** [20]; Using the sol-gel process, they created a  $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$  ( $x=0.5-2.5$ ) system embedded in a silica matrix. FT-IR observations revealed the production of silica matrix and oxidic phases, which were also detected by XRD studies. The XRD data support the nanocrystallites modest size: 10 nm for 700 °C calcined specimens and 20-25 nm for 1000°C calcined specimens. Hysteresis and magnetization derivative data were used to explore the genesis of magnetic phases. The hysteresis loops show a low coercive field, but the magnetization derivatives show broad peaks, which could indicate the presence of a poorly crystallized secondary magnetic phase and connected magnetic phases.

**T. Dippong et al., (2017)** [21]; They used the sol-gel process to make nanocomposites  $x\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4/(100-x)\text{SiO}_2$ , ( $x=10, 30, 50, 70, \text{ and } 90\%$ ) and then annealed them at  $1100\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . The production of single-phase  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  was revealed by the X-ray diffraction pattern and FT-IR spectra for all specimens. The creation of the amorphous silica matrix was also suggested by the FT-IR spectra ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ). The agglomerated shape of  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  distributed in the silica matrix was visible in SEM pictures. Magnetic  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles distributed in a silica matrix are spherical in shape and range in size from 6-35 nm. The saturation magnetization of the examined nanocomposites increased as the  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  concentration ( $x$ ) in the silica matrix increased, according to the vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). The saturation magnetization ( $M_s$ ) and coercivity ( $H_c$ ) of  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanocrystals embedded in silica matrix were also found to have a linear relationship with the mean crystallite size, according to studies.

**T. Dippong et al., (2017)** [22]; They used the sol-gel process to make cobalt ferrite nanocrystallites embedded in a silica matrix,  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4:\text{SiO}_2$ . XRD examination revealed that annealing at  $400\text{-}1100\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  resulted in the development of single-phase  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  embedded in the silica matrix. The production of the precursor in the pores of the silica matrix was confirmed using fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR). The particles were formed on the substrate and interconnected with each other, forming enormous crystals, according to scanning electron microscopy studies.  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles embedded in the silica matrix had a high potential to form agglomerates. Magnetic experiments revealed a direct link between annealing temperature and saturation magnetization in a constant coercive field for the investigated magnetic hysteresis loops. The annealing temperature has a significant impact on the particle size of ferrite powders.

**R. Zhang et al., (2018)** [23]; A sol-gel auto-combustion process was used to successfully synthesize single phase cobalt ferrite powders with a cubic spinel structure, and the pellets were sintered at various temperatures for 2 hrs. The average grain size grew from  $0.26\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  to  $0.83\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  when the sintering temperature climbed from  $900$  to  $1300\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , according to SEM examination. Due to electron hopping between ferrous ( $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ ) and ferric ( $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ) ions, the dielectric constant and loss tangent decreases with increasing frequency and becomes constant at high frequencies. The crystallinity and grain size of materials have a big impact on their magnetic characteristics. As a result of the change in crystallinity and grain size, the maximum magnetization was greatly influenced by the sintering

temperature. The coercivity drops sharply as the grain size exceeds the domain size.

**V. P. Senthil et al., (2018)** [24]; Using the auto-combustion sol-gel approach, they were able to successfully synthesis cobalt ferrite ( $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$ ) nanocrystals. At varied calcined temperatures of 600, 700, and 800 °C, X-ray diffraction patterns revealed single phase production of  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  spinel ferrite nanoparticles. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy analyses further confirmed the production of  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$ . The saturation magnetization and coercivity increase with increasing calcination temperature, according to tests made with a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). The magnetic characteristics of the  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles have shown significant fluctuations, which appear to be caused by the calcination temperature of the spinel ferrite nanoparticles.

**A. Hossain et al., (2018)** [25]; They used the citrate-gel auto combustion approach to make semi-soft ferrimagnetic  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles, which they investigated. The creation of cubic spinel  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles was confirmed by X-ray diffraction, with the average crystallite size of as-obtained specimens being approximately (30 nm). Within the spinel lattice, FT-IR spectra of ferrites revealed the presence of tetrahedral and octahedral group complexes. The synthesized particles are formed as octahedron and tetrahedron nanoscale in size with some micrometer phases, according to SEM pictures. Using a vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM), the magnetic characteristics of  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles (NPs) at room temperature were determined. The results show that all specimens had soft ferrimagnetic behavior. In addition, the specific saturation magnetization  $M_s = 60 \text{ emu.g}^{-1}$  of single domain particles measured and low coercivity 620 Oe. The ordered single-domain magnetic nanoparticles are responsible for the higher saturation magnetization, while the decrease in interparticle interactions and magneto-elastic anisotropy is responsible for the reduced magnitude of coercivity. The dielectric constant and dissipation factor of a series of ferrites  $\text{Co}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_{2-x}\text{O}_4$  are low with increasing frequency, as seen in the results of an electrical conduction research.

**T. Dippong et al., (2019)** [26]; They used a modified sol-gel approach to make  $\text{Ni}_x\text{Co}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{SiO}_2$  nanocomposites ( $x = 0, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, \text{ and } 1.00$ ). The X-ray diffraction refers to the formation of single phase cubic spinel structure for all the compositions, the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns revealed a decrease of lattice constant (8.438-8.318 Å), unit cell

volume (600.8-575.5 Å<sup>3</sup>), average crystallite size (31.7-18.2 nm) and hopping length in A (3.654-3.602 Å) and B (2.983-2.941 Å) sites and an increase of X-ray density (5.188-5.411 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) and relative crystallinity (81.6-100 a. u.) with the increase of nickel content for the specimens annealed at 1100 °C. Due to the influence of cation stoichiometry and specific site occupancy, magnetic studies revealed that saturation magnetization, remanent magnetization, coercivity, magnetic moments per unit cell, and anisotropy decrease with increasing nickel content but increase with increasing annealing temperature.

**S. Dabagh and G. Dini, (2019)** [27]; They used the sol-gel auto combustion method to make monophase Ag<sub>x</sub>Co<sub>1-x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (0 ≤ x ≤ 0.08) powders having cubic spinel structure, spherical-shaped particles, and an average size of around (20-25 nm). The sol-gel technique was then used to coat a specimen with a monophase structure with an amorphous silica layer on the surfaces of the generated Ag<sub>0.08</sub>Co<sub>0.92</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs with optimal magnetic characteristics. The properties of silica-coated Ag-Co-ferrite NPs were studied using fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy, which confirmed the existence of the silica coating on the Ag-Co-ferrite NPs' surfaces. The saturation magnetization ( $M_S$ ) value of the silica coated specimen was somewhat lower than the uncoated value. When cobalt ions are replaced with diamagnetic silver ions in the spinel structure of Co-ferrite nanoparticles, the magnetization of the nanoparticles decreases.

**T. Dippong et al., (2019)** [28]; They evaluated the structural and magnetic properties of Ni<sub>x</sub>Zn<sub>1-x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposites synthesized using the sol-gel method. The production of Ni-, Zn-, and Fe-succinate precursors and their breakdown into ferrites were detected by FT-IR spectroscopy. The development of ferrites embedded in SiO<sub>2</sub> matrix was further confirmed by FT-IR spectra. Scherrer's formula yields average crystallite sizes of nanocomposites in the ranges of (48.3-15.9 nm) (1200 °C), (12.8-5.1 nm) (800 °C), and (5.8-3.8nm) (500 °C). By annealing at 1200°C, X-ray diffraction revealed the production of highly crystalline single-phase ferrite in specimens with high Ni content and crystalline ferrite in specimens with low Ni and high Zn content. With Ni x=1, the saturation magnetization was found to be the maximum value of the specimen, however the coercivity decreased as the Ni content in the specimens increased due to a reduction in the amount of lattice defects and internal strain. The magnetic anisotropy constant in Ni-Zn ferrite nanopowders increases exponentially as the Ni content rises. They also



discussed about the hysteresis loop results, which revealed that the NCs were superparamagnetic and ferromagnetic.

**V. R. Bhagwat et al., (2019)** [29]; They used the sol-gel auto combustion approach to successfully synthesize spinel cobalt ferrite ( $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$ ) nanoparticles. All specimens contain a cubic spinel structure, with computed average crystallite sizes in the range of (15-22 nm). With aggregation of particles, the SEM images appear to be homogeneous. Regardless of the fuels used, the sponge-like spherical morphology was seen. The average grain size was discovered to be between 65 and 86 nanometers. The superparamagnetic behavior of the specimens was validated by the increased saturation magnetization ( $M_s$ ) and coercivity ( $H_c$ ) obtained by the magnetic hysteresis (M-H) loop. The size of the nanoparticles increased the saturation magnetization, coercivity, and remanent magnetization.

**T. Dippong et al., (2020)** [30]; They investigated the structural and magnetic properties of  $\alpha\text{Cu}_{0.6}\text{Co}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4/(100-\alpha)\text{SiO}_2$  nanocomposites ( $\alpha = 0, 25, 50, 75, \text{ and } 100\%$ ) produced by sol-gel technique and thermally treated at 200, 500, 800, and 1200 °C. Low crystallinity of NCs with low ferrite concentration is indicated by low intensity, widened diffraction peaks, most likely due to reduced crystallite size. The Sherrer formula was used to calculate the average crystallite sizes ( $D_c$ ) for sintered specimens, which ranged from 34 to 110 nm. The development of  $\text{SiO}_2$  matrix and Cu-Co ferrite was revealed by fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) analysis. The amorphous  $\text{SiO}_2$  matrix has the smallest nanoparticles (30 nm), ensuring that the nanostructure of Cu-Co ferrite powders is refined. With increasing  $\text{SiO}_2$  matrix percentage, the size of round shaped Cu-Co ferrite nanoparticles decreases. With increasing Cu-Co ferrite content embedded in  $\text{SiO}_2$  matrix, they found that saturation magnetization and remanent magnetization improved while coercivity and magnetic anisotropy decreased. They also discovered that when  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  is doped in the Co ferrite structure, less  $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{-Fe}^{3+}$  ions pairs develop, resulting in a lower magnetization value, because  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  prefers tetrahedral positions and has a lower magnetic moment than  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ .

**C. C. Naik and A. V. Salker, (2020)** [31]; They used the sol-gel auto-combustion method to make  $\text{CoFe}_{2-x}\text{Sb}_x\text{O}_4$  ( $x = 0.00, 0.03, 0.06, \text{ and } 0.09$ ). The development of a single-phase cubic structure free of impurities was confirmed by X-ray diffraction. The distinctive absorption bands corresponding to M-O stretching vibrations were visible in infrared

spectra. The inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy technique was used to confirm the precise chemical composition. Studies using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy confirmed the chemical state of the current metal ion species. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) studies were used to determine the morphology of the specimens as well as their particle sizes. At both 300 K and 50 K, the saturation magnetization decreased after Sb replacement, with a significantly greater value at 50 K. Due to thermal energy or heat mediated disturbance in the alignment at 300 K, the magnetic parameters measured at 50 K revealed greater values than those obtained at 300 K. The semiconducting property of the materials was revealed by DC electrical resistivity measurements, which revealed an increasing trend with  $\text{Sb}^{3+}$  ions content. The dielectric constant increased as the concentration of  $\text{Sb}^{3+}$  ions and temperature increased.

**G. R. Patta et al., (2020)** [32]; They used a polyethylene glycol-assisted sol-gel technique to make high coercive single-domain cobalt ferrite  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles, which they then annealed at varied temperatures (400, 600, 700, and 800 °C). XRD and FT-IR methods were used to characterize the produced specimens. The size and shape of the particles are significantly dependent on the annealing temperature, according to X-ray diffraction analysis. The produced cobalt ferrite nanoparticles are extensively distributed and have a consistent shape. The octahedral vibrational band of the Fe-O bond is identified at about  $587\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the FT-IR spectra. The tetrahedral vibrational band of the Co-O bond is observed at roughly  $420\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the spectra of all the specimens. For cobalt ferrite particles of size 12.8 nm, the highest values of saturation magnetization  $M_s$  and remnant magnetization  $M_r$  were recorded, with 85.5 emu/g and 49 emu/g, respectively. For the cobalt ferrite containing nanoparticles of average size  $\sim 10$  nm, the coercive field ( $H_c$ ) showed non-monotonic behavior with unique maximum (2214 Oe) and modest magnetization, 62.7 emu/g, indicating that the particles are restricted to single-domain. For the materials annealed at 800 °C, the magneto-crystalline anisotropy constant,  $K$ , determined using the Stoner-Wohlfarth relationship, the maximum value was  $10.74 \times 10^6\text{ erg/cm}^3$ .

**T. Dippong et al., (2020)** [33]; Using a modified sol-gel process and high temperature annealing (1000 °C), they created copper substituted cobalt ferrite  $\text{Cu}_x\text{Co}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  ( $x = 0.00, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, \text{ and } 1.00$ ) nanoferrites embedded in  $\text{SiO}_2$  matrix. The prepared specimens have a single-phase cubic spinel structure, according to X-ray diffraction

examination. The Scherrer equation was used to determine crystallite sizes ranging from 20 nm ( $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$ ) to 60 nm ( $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$ ). Fourier transformed infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) was used to analyze the reaction progress. The FT-IR spectroscopy confirmed the consumption of nitrogen oxides up to 200 °C.  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  emerges in all specimens at 200 °C, according to PXRD analysis, while Copper oxide appears in those with significant copper concentration. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to examine the particle size and form. The SEM images revealed an agglomeration of homogeneous, regular, and yeast-like form particles on the specimen surfaces.



### 1.3 Aim of the Study

- 1- Synthesis of  $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$  nanoferrites by a sol-gel auto-combustion method and  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{SiO}_2$  by the conventional ceramic method.
- 2- Studying the effect of increasing the content (x) and calcination, temperature of  $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$ , and studying the influence of amorphous silica ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ) concentration on the structural, magnetic, and dielectric properties (XRD, FT-IR and FE-SEM EDS).
- 3- Studying the magnetic parameters such as (saturation magnetization ( $M_s$ ), remanence magnetization ( $M_r$ ), and coercivity ( $H_c$ )) of synthesized ferrite nanoparticles in applied field  $\pm 15$  kOe through vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) at room temperature.
- 4- Studying the electrical properties (dielectric constant ( $\epsilon'$ ), dielectric loss angle ( $\tan \delta$ ), dielectric loss factor ( $\epsilon''$ ), and ac conductivity ( $\sigma_{ac}$ )) of synthesized nanoferrite with the frequency from (50 Hz) to (2MHz) by using LCR meter at room temperature.