

Burooj M. Razooqi, Msc - College of Medicine / Diayla University.

ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF PROPOLIS ON BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM CHILDREN WITH IMPETIGO

Burooj M. Razooqi, Msc - College of Medicine / Diayla University.

ABSTRACT

Background: Impetigo is a contagious superficial pyogenic infection of the skin, caused by *Staphylococcus aureus or Streptococcus pyogenes* and some times *proteus mirabilis*, different antibiotics was used for the treatment of impetigo, given topically or systemically. **Objectives:** The aim of the present work is to estimate the effectiveness of propolis on different types of bacteria which was isolated from children suffering from impetigo skin infection.

Methods: The study was done in the College of Medicine / Diyala University. Forty two samples with positive bacterial cultures, were obtained from the skin lesions of children with impetigo infection , for the period of 2/Feb. to 30/May/2010 . They were tested by doing antibiotic sensitivity test of different antibiotics and the resistant strains of different types of bacteria , were tested by 10% of ethanolic extract of propolis and it's phenolic compounds .

Results: The study revealed that 10% of ethanolic extract of propolis and it's phenolic compounds was effective as antibacterial agents, against antibiotic's resistant strains of *Staph* .aureus, *Strep. Pyogenes* and *Proteus mirabilis*.

Keyword: Impetigo, Staph. aureus, Strep. pyogenes, Protus mirabilis, propolis.

نشاط العكبر المضاد للجراثيم المعزولة من الاطفال المصبين بالقوباء

بروج محمد رزوقي كلية الطب/ حامعة ديالي

Vol: 10 No:2, April 2014 204 ISSN: 2222-8373



Burooj M. Razooqi, Msc - College of Medicine / Diayla University.

الخلاصة

تمهيد: القوباء هو التهاب الجلد الجرثومي المعدي السطحي تسببه جرلثيم المكورات العنقودية الذهبية أو المكورات المسبحية وفي بعض الأحيان المتقلبات. يعالج بأنواع مختلفة من المضادات الحيويه بعضها تعطى موضعيا" والأخرى جهازيا

الهدف: الهدف من هذه الدراسة هو لدراسة تاثير المستخلص الكحولي للعكبر على انواع الجراثيم المعزولة من الاطفال المصابين بالقوباء.

الطرق: أخذت 42 عينة جرثومية من المكورات العنقودية الذهبية والمكورات المسبحية والمتقلبات لمرضى القوباء وتم إجراء اختبار الحساسية للمستخلص المحولي المعكبر ومركباته الفنولية عليها .

النتائج: أظهرت الدراسة بان المستخلص الكحولي للعكبر ومركباته الفينولية ذات تأثير كمضاد حيوي على المسببات الجرثومية المختلفة للقوباء والتي تشمل جراثيم المكورات العنقودية والمكورات المسبحية والمنقلبات.

الكلمات المفتاحية: القوباء, المكورات العنقودية, المكورات المسبحية, المتقابات, العكبر.

INTRODUCTION

Impetigo is a contagious superficial pyogenic infection of the skin, it is of two main clinical forms: non – bullous impetigo (Impetigo Contagiosa of Tilbury Fox) and bullous impetigo (Interpreta as a Staphylococcal disease, although Streptococcal bullous impetigo has been reported (Interpreta as a Staphylococcal disease, although by Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pyogenes, or by both organisms together, it accounts for more than 70 % of all cases, rarely caused by Proteus mirabilis (1,2,3,4,5).

Diagnosis is made by clinical criteria followed by Gram stain and confirmed by culture of exudates from lesion (3).

Treatment: in mild and localized infection, a topical antibiotic alone may suffice e.g. mupirocin, fusidic acid, bacitracin for both Staphylococcal and Streptococcal impetigo, if the infection is wide – spread or sever or is accompanied by lymphadenopathy or if there is reason to suspect a nephritogenic *Streptococcus*, an oral antibiotic such as flucloxacillin, or erythromycin is indicated also azithromycin, cephalexin, cefaelor, cefprozil and

Vol: 10 No:2, April 2014 205 ISSN: 2222-8373

DIYALA JOURNAL FOR PURE SCIENCES



ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF PROPOLIS ON BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM CHILDREN WITH IMPETIGO

Burooj M. Razooqi, Msc - College of Medicine / Diayla University.

clindamycin are alternative therapies $^{(1,3)}$. Black tea (as 2% topical ointment) also give a good result in treatment $^{(6)}$.

Propolis is one of the honey comb products which is produced by the bees and used to close the pores and opening of the honey comb to protect it from infectious agents ⁽⁷⁾. Propolis is used in medicine since thousands of years, as antibacterial, antifungal (8,9,10), antiviral (11) and antineoplastic agent (12). Propolis has a complicated structure, which is composed of more than 200 substances include: 50%resins, 30%wax, 10%essential oils, 5%pollen and 5%organic compounds like sugars, vitamins and amino acid, also contain phenolic compounds (e.g. benzoic acids, cinnamic acid, aldehydes, steroid....etc) (13). Propolis was found to be effective as antibacterial agent against different microbes isolated from different systemic infection (14).

The aim of the present study is to evaluate the antibacterial effects of ethanolic extract of propolis and it's phenolic compounds on different types of bacteria, isolated from children with impetigo.

METHODS

The study was done in Departments of Medicine (Unite of Dermatology) and of Microbiology / College of Medicine / Diyala University for the period 2-Feb. to 30-May 2012 . Forty two samples of cultured bacteria , isolated from children with impetigo , which were collected by using cotton swap and cultured on MacConky and Blood agar , biochemical tests were used for isolation and identification of different types of bacteria (15) . The samples were tested by antibiotic sensitivity test by using Kirby-bauer disk method , which include: methicillin , cefotaxime , cefalexin , piperacillin , gentamicin , erythromycin and ciprofloxacin , ⁽¹⁶⁾ . The resistant strains to the preceding antibiotics and to the 95% ethanol (selected according to the diameter of inhibition zone) , were selected and sensitivity testing to ethanolic extract of propolis and it's phenolic compounds (10mg/ml stock solution=10% concentration) was done by using agar-well diffusion(Iraqi honey comb) ⁽¹⁷⁾ . Different concentrations of propolis extract (1mg,2mg,3mg,4mg and 5mg/ ml) were obtained from the original stock solution of propolis and diluted by using 95% ethanol (to obtain a total 10ml for

Vol: 10 No:2, April 2014 206 ISSN: 2222-8373



Burooj M. Razooqi, Msc - College of Medicine / Diayla University.

each concentration) and tested on the resistant strains of isolated bacteria, by measuring the diameter of inhibition zone.

Also the MIC and MBC of different concentration (1mg,2mg,3mg,4mg and5mg/ ml) of propolis and it's phenolic compound extract were measured by using Agar – dilution method⁽¹⁸⁾.

RESULTS

Table-1 shows the antibiotic sensitivity tests of different types of antibiotic on different types of bacteria. The percentage of resistance of *Staph. aureus* to cephalexin, methicillin and erythromycin was (50, 70.8 and 62.5%), *Strep. pyogenes* resistance was (71.4, 71.4 and 78.6%) and *Proteus mirabilis* resistance was (50, 50 and 75%) respectively, while resistance of *Staph. aureus* to cefotaxim and gentamicin was (37.5 and 33.3%), *Strep. pyogenes* resistance was 42.9% for both and *Proteus mirabilis* resistance was (25% for both antibiotics). The resistance of *Staph. aureus* to ciprofloxacin and piperacillin was (33.3 and 41.7%), *Strep. pyogenes* resistance was 35.7% for both *and Proteus mirabilis* has no resistance to both antibiotics.

The study shows that the propolis and it's phenolic compounds was effective against different types of resistant bacteria (*Staph aureus*, *Strep. pyogenes* and *Proteus mirabilis*) as in table-2. For *Staph aureus* (Figure-1) the inhibition diameters was (30.12, 31.81, 38.32, 39.21, 41.20) mm for different concentrations of phenolic compounds, to *Strep pyogenes* (Figure-2) was (25.18, 26.20, 28.17, 31.33, 34.12) mm and for *Proteus mirabilis* (Figure-3) was (0,00, 7.12, 10.14, 13.15, 15.12) mm. Its antibacterial effect was directly proportional with concentration of propolis and it's phenolic compounds. According to the values of MIC & MBC as in table-3, the results revealed that the phenolic compounds were more effective as antibacterial agent than the propolis against *Proteus mirabilis*, *Strep. Pyogenes*, than *Staph. aureus* which was due to the presence of oxidative compounds, which were highly toxic against bacteria⁽¹⁴⁾.

Burooj M. Razooqi , Msc – College of Medicine / Diayla University.

Table-1: Percentage of resistant bacteria to different antibiotics.

Antibiotics	Staph. aureus-tot	ıl	Strep. pyogenes –	Proteus mirabilis-	
	24sample	s	total 14samples	total 4samples	
Cephalexin	Resistant-12 50%	5 10	71.4 %	2	50%
Cefotaxime	Resistant-9 37.5%	6	42.9%	1	25%
Methicillin	Resistant-17 70.8%	5 10	71.4%	2	50.%
Erythromycin	Resistant-15 62.5%	5 11	78.6%	3	75%
Gentamicin	Resistant-8 33.3%	6	42.9%	1	25%
Piperacillin(Resistant-10 41.7%	5	35.7%	0	0%
	TIN S	17		37	
Ciprofloxacin	Resistant-8 33.3%	5 5	35.7%	0	0%

Table-2: Inhibition zone (mm) of propolis and it's phenolic compounds on resistant bacteria.

Bacteria	Extract	Inhibition zone in different concentrations (mm)					
	E E	1mg/ml	2mg/ml	3mg/ml	4mg/ml	5mg/ml	
Staph. aureus	Phenolic C.	30.12	31.81	38.32	39.21	41.20	
	Propolis	13.28	14.12	15.20	17.40	18.28	
Strep.	Phenolic C.	25.18	26.20	28.17	31.33	34.12	
pyogenes	Propolis	1.00	1.50	8.15	10.17	11.14	
Proteus	Phenolic C.	13.40	14.21	19.20	22.14	24.11	
mirabilis	Propolis	0.00	7.12	10.14	13.15	15.12	

Vol: 10 No:2, April 2014 208 ISSN: 2222-8373



Burooj M. Razooqi, Msc - College of Medicine / Diayla University.

Table-3: Values of MIC and MBC of propolis and it's phenolic compounds(mg/ml).

Resistant bacteria	Propolis		Phenolic compounds	
	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC
Staph. aureus	2	3	1	2
Strep. pyogenes	2	4	1	2
Proteus mirabilis	3	5	2	3

MIC: Minimum inhibition concentration.

MBC: Minimum bactericidal concentration.



Figure -1. Staph. aureus inhibition zone

Vol: 10 No:2, April 2014 209 ISSN: 2222-8373

DIPS DIVALA NIVERSITY OF PRINCIPLE COLLEGE OF PRINC

ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF PROPOLIS ON BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM CHILDREN WITH IMPETIGO

Burooj M. Razooqi , Msc - College of Medicine / Diayla University.



Figure -2. Strep. pyogenes inhibition zone



Figure-3. Proteus mirabilis inhibition zone

Vol: 10 No:2, April 2014 210 ISSN: 2222-8373



Burooj M. Razooqi, Msc - College of Medicine / Diayla University.

DISCUSSION

The results of this study revealed that the propolis and it's phynolic compounds were effective in vitro as an antibacterial agent and *Staph. aureus* was more sensitive than *Protues mirabilis* and *Strep. pyogenes*. The antibacterial activity of propolis was due to the presence of phenolic compounds e.g. diterpenic acid, which cause denaturation of protein of the cell membrane and kill the bacteria (19). Also the study shows that the antibacterial activity of propolis and it's phenolic compounds were more effective in vitro as antibacterial agent than different types of antibiotics, black and green tea (1,3,6,10,14,18). In this study the propolis was more effective than green and black tea (4,6), also more effective than different types of antibiotics (1,3).

We concluded that the ethanolic extract of propolis was relatively effective as antibacterial agent in vitro and its effect was directly proportional with its concentration.

REFRENCES

- 1. Tony B, Stephen B, Meilc, Christopher G. Impetigo. Rook Text Book of Dermatology 7th ed 2004; ch. 27: 13-15.
- 2. Helsing P, Gaustad P. Bullous impetigo caused by group A *Streptococci* (1994). Act . Derma . Venereal . Suppl . (stock) ; 62: 10-1 .
- 3. Klaus W, Lowell A, Barbara A, David J. Impetigo (2008). Fitzpatrick Dermatology in general Medicine . 7th ed Vol . 2: 1695 1705 .
- 4. Burooj MR . Impetigo a bacteriological study . Diyala Journal for pure Sciences , 2010; 6(3): 318-24 .
- 5. Wartman P. Bacterial infections of the Skin. Corporal Dermatology 1993; 5: 196-204.
- 6. Sharquie KH, AL-Turffy EH. Antibacterial effect of black tea in vitro and vivo .Journal of Dermatology, 2000; 27: 706 –10.
- 7. Burdock GA. Review of the biological properties and toxicity of bee propolis. Food chem. Toxical. 1998; 36: 334-63...

Vol: 10 No:2, April 2014 211 ISSN: 2222-8373

DIYALA JOURNAL FOR PURE SCIENCES



ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF PROPOLIS ON BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM CHILDREN WITH IMPETIGO

Burooj M. Razooqi, Msc - College of Medicine / Diayla University.

- 8. Nada K.K., Hendi S., Nahar, Alaa Al-Chankh. Invitro antibacterial and antifungal activity of Iraqi propolis. J. Medical plants Researh, 2011; 5(20): 558-66.
- 9. Al-Zubady B.A. . Study of effect of propolis on growth of *Staph. aureus* in vitro . Karbala University J. 2009; 389: 25-30 .
- 10. Hastyar H. et al . Antibacterial activity of propolis collected from different regions of Sulaimania Province –Iraq . Second Kurdistan Conference on biological Scinences / Duhok University ,2009;12(1): 233-239 .
- 11. Huleihel M, Isanu V. Anti-herpes simplex virus effect of an aqueous extract of propolis. Ist. Med. Assoc. J. 2002; 4(11): 923-7.
- 12. Banskota AH, Negoaka T, Sumoika LY etal . Antiproleferative activity of the Netherland's propolis and it's active principles in cancer cell lines . J. Ethanol. Pharmacology . 2002; 80(1): 67-73 .
- 13. Pieta PG, Gardana C, Pietta AM . Analytical methods for quality control of propolis . Fitoterapia 2002; 73(1): 7-20 .
- 14. Anfal SH, Hussein HH, Kawkab YS. Effect of propolis extract from bee insect on growth of some types of bacteria and fungi. MSC thesis, College of science, Al.Mustansiria University 2001.
- 15. Baron EL, Fingold SM, Peterson LR. Laboratory methods for diagnosis of bacterial infections. Bailey and Scotts, Diagnostic microbiology, 9th ed. 1994; Mosby Company Missoni USA: 148.
- 16. National Committee for clinical laboratory standards. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility test. Twelfth information supplement. 2002; 22(1).
- 17. Boyanova L, Derejian S, Koumanova R, Katasnova N et al. Inhibition of Helicobacter pylori growth in vitro by Bulgarian propolis, preliminary report. J. Med. Microbial. 2003; 52(5): 417-9.
- 18. Sawaye AC, Palmo AM, Caetans FM, Macucci MC et al. Comparative study of invitro methods used to analyze activity of propolis extracts with different compositions against Candida. Left Appl. Microbial, 2002; 35(3): 203-7.
- Velikova M, Bankova V, Sorkun K, Houcine S et al. A propolis from the Mediteranean region, Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity J. Med. Microbial. 2002; 55(9-10): 790-3.

Vol: 10 No:2, April 2014 212 ISSN: 2222-8373